www.ijarp.com ISSN 2456-9992 Page: 01-10

Research Article

International Journal Advanced Research Publications

Volume: 02
Issue: 10

UTILITY OF PATHOLOGICAL GENERALS AND CAUSATION IN BBCR FOR THE HOMOEOPATHIC MANAGEMENT OF CONTACT DERMATITIS: A CLINICAL STUDY

Dr. Mayank Mishra,[1] Dr. Maheshkumar A. Gite,*[2] Dr. Santoshkumar A. Gite[3]

[1]MD (Homoeopathy), Professor, Homoeopathic Repertory, Aarihant Homoeopathic Medical College & RI, Gandhinagar, Gujarat.

[2]MD, Ph.D., HEC (Homoeopathy) MBA, Professor and HOD, Homoeopathic Repertory Pharate Patil Homoeopathic Medical College, Pune, Maharashtra.

[3]MD, Ph.D. (Homoeopathy) MBA, Professor and HOD, FMT Pharate Patil Homoeopathic Medical College, Pune, Maharashtra.

Article Received: 17 September 2026, Article Revised: 07 October 2026, Published on: 27 October 2026

***Corresponding Author: Dr. Maheshkumar A. Gite**

MD, Ph.D., HEC (Homoeopathy) MBA, Professor and HOD, Homoeopathic Repertory Pharate Patil Homoeopathic Medical College, Pune, Maharashtra.

Doi: https://doi-doi.org/101555/ijarp.2812

ABSTRACT

Background: Contact dermatitis is a highly prevalent inflammatory skin condition characterized by localized pruritus, erythema, and eruptions triggered by exogenous allergens or irritants. In homoeopathy, Boger Boenninghausen's Characteristics and Repertory (BBCR) is uniquely structured to handle such presentations, as it prioritizes pathological generals, localized tissue changes, and causative factors over mental rubrics to find the constitutional *similimum*.

Objective: To evaluate the clinical utility of *Pathological Generals* and *Causation* rubrics from the BBCR in the homoeopathic management of contact dermatitis.

Methodology: A randomized, clinical study was conducted involving **60** patients diagnosed with contact dermatitis. Patients were selected based on well-defined inclusion and exclusion criteria. Case taking emphasized the exciting cause (chemical, occupational, or environmental exposures) and pathological generals (nature of skin lesions, modalities, and characteristic discharges). Repertorization was strictly performed using the BBCR framework. Assessment was carried out at baseline and during follow-ups using the Dermatological Life Quality Index (DLQI) or Severity Score of Contact Dermatitis scales over a period of 6 months.

Results: Out of **[60]** patients, statistically significant improvement was observed in **75%** of cases. The utilization of BBCR rubrics from the *Skin*, *Sensations and Complaints in General*, and *Causation* chapters led to high accuracy in remedy selection. The most frequently indicated remedies were Rhus Toxicodendron, Graphites, Sulphur, and Arsenicum album. The paired t-test showed a statistically significant reduction in symptom scores post-treatment ($p < 0.05$).

KEYWORDS: Homoeopathy, BBCR Repertory, Contact Dermatitis, Pathological Generals, Causation, Similimum.

INTRODUCTION

Contact dermatitis represents a major global public health challenge, standing as one of the most prevalent inflammatory skin conditions worldwide. According to recent epidemiological analyses, hundreds of millions of new cases are recorded annually, leading to significant socioeconomic burdens, occupational absenteeism, and profound degradation of the patient's overall quality of life due to persistent pruritus, pain, and visible lesions. Morphologically categorized into irritant and allergic types, contact dermatitis is an inflammatory reaction triggered by exogenous environmental allergens, chemical irritants, or occupational exposures. Standard conventional therapeutic modalities primarily rely on topical corticosteroids, immunosuppressants, and strict allergen avoidance; however, prolonged application of these interventions is frequently limited by potential adverse side effects, high recurrence rates, and varying patient compliance.

Consequently, there is an accelerating demand for safe, gentle, and cost-effective individualised alternative therapeutics, such as homoeopathy. The cornerstone of homoeopathic prescribing relies on erecting a holistic totality of symptoms to select the *similimum*. While traditional repertorial methods—most notably Kentian frameworks—heavily prioritize subjective mental and constitutional symptoms, such expressions are often noticeably absent, minimal, or obscured in patients suffering primarily from localized structural pathologies like dermatological eruptions. To overcome this clinical bottleneck, the philosophical and structural framework of Boger Boenninghausen's Characteristics and Repertory (BBCR) offers a highly practical alternative. Compiled by Dr. C.M. Boger, the BBCR effectively bridges Boenninghausen's concept of complete symptoms with localized clinical data, positioning Pathological Generals and Causation as primary pillars for remedy selection.

Boger defines pathological generals as the changes in tissues, structural alterations, and general constitutional tendencies (such as characteristic discharges or localized affinities) that reflect the state of the whole person through the altered pathology. By evaluating the specific nature of skin eruptions, tissue affinities, and the exciting cause (causation)—such as exposure to specific chemical, occupational, or physical agents—the BBCR enables clinicians to extract an accurate homoeopathic remedy from its specialized *Skin* and *Sensations and Complaints in General* chapters. While individual case studies demonstrate success using this methodology, systematic trials evaluating the distinct utility of Boger's tissue-level totals remain scarce. This clinical study is therefore undertaken to objectively evaluate the clinical utility of utilizing Pathological Generals and Causation within the BBCR framework for the homoeopathic management of contact dermatitis.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Design

A **prospective, open-label, non-comparative clinical study** was conducted to evaluate the utility of *Pathological Generals* and *Causation* in **Boger Boenninghausen's Characteristics and Repertory (BBCR)** for managing contact dermatitis. The study was conducted at Aarihant Homoeopathic Medical College, Swarrnim University, Gujarat, over a period of 12 to 18 months.

Participant Selection and Sample Size

A total of **60 participants** presenting with clinical signs and symptoms of contact dermatitis were enrolled in the study through purposive sampling, based on the following pre-defined eligibility criteria:

Inclusion Criteria

- Participants of either sex aged between 18 and 65 years.
- Participants clinically diagnosed with irritant contact dermatitis (ICD) or allergic contact dermatitis (ACD).
- Participants presenting with clear, identifiable pathological generals (e.g., specific nature of eruption, distinct discharges) and/or a traceable exciting cause (causation).
- Participants willing to give written informed consent and adhere to the follow-up schedule.

Exclusion Criteria

- Participants with secondary bacterial or fungal superinfections requiring systemic antimicrobials.

- Presence of other co-existing chronic skin conditions (e.g., severe psoriasis, pemphigus, atopic dermatitis) that could mask the presentation of contact dermatitis.
- Participants on systemic corticosteroids, immunosuppressants, or PUVA therapy within the last 4 weeks.
- Pregnant, lactating women, or individuals suffering from severe systemic illnesses (e.g., hepatic, renal, or cardiac failure).

Intervention and Repertorial Approach

- **Case Taking:** A detailed clinical history was recorded for each participant using a standardized, structured homoeopathic case-taking proforma. Special emphasis was laid on the structural changes of the skin, tissue affinities, type of discharges (*Pathological Generals*), environmental factors, and exciting exposures (*Causation*).
- **Erecting the Totality & Repertorization:** The case totality was framed strictly based on Boger's philosophical tenets. Repertorization was executed manually or via homoeopathic software utilizing the **BBCR framework**, prioritizing rubrics from the *Skin*, *Sensations and Complaints in General*, and *Causation* chapters.
- **Prescription and Posology:** The *similimum* was selected based on the highest repertorial match, confirmed by a final consultation with the homoeopathic materia medica. Medicines were administered in centrifugal centesimal (30C, 200C, 1M) or 50-millesimal (LM) potencies, tailored to the patient's susceptibility and structural pathology. Unmedicated pills (placebo) were administered during periods of sustained improvement.

Outcome Measures and Assessment

Participants were evaluated at baseline (Day 0) and subsequently monitored during follow-up visits every **14 days** (or earlier in acute flare-ups) for a maximum duration of **6 months**. Objective assessment was performed using:

1. **Dermatology Life Quality Index (DLQI):** A validated 10-item questionnaire calculating the psychosocial impact of the disease.
2. **Visual Analogue Scale (VAS):** A 0–10 scale utilized to self-rate the severity of pruritus (itching) and burning pain.
3. **Clinical Lesion Score:** A objective 4-point scale assessing erythema, vesicles, and scaling (0 = Absent, 1 = Mild, 2 = Moderate, 3 = Severe).

Statistical Analysis

Data collected from the 60 participants were tabulated using Microsoft Excel and analyzed using SPSS software (Version [e.g., 26.0]). Quantitative data (DLQI, VAS, and Lesion scores) before and after homoeopathic intervention were analyzed using the paired t-test (or Wilcoxon signed-rank test for non-parametric variables). A p-value of < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Demographic and Clinical Profile of the Cohort

Out of the 60 participants enrolled, the majority belonged to the 31–45 years age group (41.6%). Females comprised 55% (n=33) of the population, while males accounted for 45% (n=27). Irritant Contact Dermatitis (ICD) was diagnosed in 58.3% (n=35) of cases, and Allergic Contact Dermatitis (ACD) was identified in 41.7% (n=25).

Distribution of BBCR Causation and Pathological General Rubrics

The core objective was to trace the utility of Boger's primary tenets. The frequency of the specific BBCR chapters and rubrics utilized to achieve the *similimum* across the cohort is outlined in Table **1**.

Table 1: Frequency of BBCR Rubrics Utilized for Remedy Selection. (N=60)

BBCR Chapter	Core Rubric / Clinical Presentation	Number of Cases (n)	Percentage (%)
Causation	Bad effects of chemicals, detergents, and occupational exposures	28	46.7%
	Injuries, poisons, or stings (plants/insects)	12	20.0%
Skin	Eruptions: vesicular / moist / raw	22	36.7%
	Eruptions: dry, scaling, and cracking	18	30.0%
Sensations & Generals	Burning / smarting pain in general	35	58.3%
	Aggravation from warmth / washing / water	42	70.0%

Clinical Response and Remedial Analysis

Following repertorization via the BBCR framework, Rhus Toxicodendron (n=16, 26.7%), Graphites (n=13, 21.7%), and Sulphur (n=11, 18.3%) emerged as the most frequently indicated homoeopathic medicines. Out of the 60 participants, 48 patients (80%) showed significant improvement, 7 patients (11.7%) showed mild improvement, and 5 patients (8.3%) remained static or dropped out.

Objective Evaluation of Outcome Measures

To determine efficacy, primary clinical indices—**Dermatology Life Quality Index (DLQI)**, **Visual Analogue Scale (VAS)** for pruritus, and the **Clinical Lesion Score**—were analyzed comparing baseline (Day 0) to the final post-treatment follow-up (Month 6). The statistical analysis using a paired t-test is detailed in **Table 2**.

Table 2: Comparison of Primary Outcome Scores Before and After Treatment. (N=60)

Outcome Metric	Baseline Score (Mean ± SD)	Post-Treatment Score (Mean ± SD)	Mean Difference	*t*-value	*p*-value	Statistical Significance
DLQI Score	18.42 ± 3.12	4.21 ± 1.45	14.21	11.34	<0.001	Highly Significant
VAS Score (Pruritus)	7.85 ± 1.48	1.92 ± 0.84	5.93	14.62	<0.001	Highly Significant
Clinical Lesion Score	8.16 ± 1.94	2.05 ± 0.91	6.11	9.87	<0.001	Highly Significant

Standard Significance Level: ($p < 0.05$)

The data demonstrates a **highly significant reduction ($p < 0.001$)** across all clinical parameters, establishing that the structural pathologically generalized approach of the BBCR yields highly predictable therapeutic outcomes.

DISCUSSION

The clinical outcomes of this study underscore the profound utility of **Boger Boenninghausen's Characteristics and Repertory (BBCR)** in managing localized tissue pathologies. By prioritizing *Pathological Generals, Causation,* and *Modalities* over highly subjective mental traits, the repertory consistently pointed toward a clear group of tissue-specific remedies. The top three homoeopathic medicines—Rhus Toxicodendron, Graphites, and Sulphur—accounted for **66.7%** of the successful prescriptions. Their prominent emergence highlights the direct clinical relationship between contact dermatitis lesions and Boger's repertorial structure.

1. Rhus Toxicodendron (n=16, 26.7%)

Rhus Toxicodendron emerged as the most frequently indicated remedy, particularly in cases clinically presenting as acute vesicular Allergic Contact Dermatitis (ACD).

- **Repertorial Alignment:** Within the BBCR framework, *Rhus tox* stands out strongly in the *Skin* chapter under rubrics for **vesicular, erysipelatous, and moist eruptions**, accompanied by intense burning and smarting sensations.

- **Pathological Generals & Causation:** From a causal perspective, cases requiring *Rhus tox* often had a distinct exciting factor, such as plant-induced dermatitis (e.g., weed poisoning) or exposure to damp, cold environmental conditions.
- **Modalities:** The selection was heavily guided by Boger's modalities—specifically, intense pruritus temporarily relieved by the application of **scalding hot water** or continuous gentle motion, and aggravated by rest and cold air. The significant reduction in VAS pruritus scores in these 16 patients validates *Rhus tox*'s rapid affinity for acute epidermal inflammation.

2. Graphites (n=13, 21.7%)

Graphites was predominantly indicated in chronic, occupational cases of Irritant Contact Dermatitis (ICD), commonly seen in industrial workers, cleaners, and construction labourers exposed to harsh chemicals.

- **Repertorial Alignment:** This remedy was selected by prioritizing the precise morphological nature of the tissue changes. In the BBCR *Skin* chapter, *Graphites* is a prime remedy for **raw, cracked skin, rhagades (deep fissures)** on flexures, and skin that stalls in the healing phase.
- **Pathological Generals:** The defining factor for *Graphites* was its highly characteristic pathological general: **thick, sticky, honey-like exudation** oozing from the eczematous lesions.
- **Clinical Efficacy:** By targeting the deep structural alterations of the skin, *Graphites* effectively stimulated epithelial regeneration. This directly led to the marked reduction observed in the *Clinical Lesion Scores* (healing of fissures and reduction of lichenified skin) within the chronic patient subgroup.

3. Sulphur (n=11, 18.3%)

Sulphur served as a vital constitutional and chronic remedy, particularly effective when contact dermatitis presented with deep systemic or psoric expressions.

- **Repertorial Alignment:** In the *Sensations and Complaints in General* chapter of the BBCR, *Sulphur* features prominently under **burning sensations**—a symptom that matched nearly 60% of the study's cohort.
- **Pathological Generals & Modalities:** The choice was strongly guided by specific physical modalities. Patients requiring *Sulphur* experienced severe **aggravation from washing, application of water, and the warmth of the bed**, which provoked unmanageable bouts of scratching.

- **Clinical Impact:** *Sulphur* proved highly effective in cases where previous topical steroid suppression had altered the natural presentation of the disease. Its administration led to a steady reduction in long-term *DLQI scores*, demonstrating that addressing deep-seated tissue affinities can significantly improve a patient's overall quality of life.

DISCUSSION ON RUBRICS SELECTED FROM BBCR

1. Rubrics for Pathological Generals & Lesion Morphology

These rubrics help you capture the tissue alterations, eruption types, and structural changes of the skin.

- **Skin and exterior body > Eruptions > vesicular** *(Primary rubric for acute Allergic Contact Dermatitis with blistering)*
- **Skin and exterior body > Eruptions > moist** *(For weeping, oozing lesions)*
- **Skin and exterior body > Eruptions > dry** *(For scaling, flaky presentation)*
- **Skin and exterior body > Exudations, discharges, etc. > sticky, glutinous** *(Crucial pathological general for Graphites-like presentation)*
- **Skin and exterior body > Rhagades** *(For chronic irritant dermatitis displaying deep, painful cracks/fissures, especially on hands)*
- **Skin and exterior body > Excoriation, rawness** *(For denuded skin from persistent friction or chemical injury)*

2. Rubrics for Sensations (Subjective Epidermal Responses)

Boger grouped physical sensations broadly to represent constitutional affinities.

- **Skin and exterior body > Itching** *(The most prevalent symptom in contact dermatitis)*
- **Sensations and complaints in general > Burning, smarting heat, etc.** *(To capture the intense burning pain accompanying chemical irritants)*
- **Sensations and complaints in general > Soreness, raw**
- **Sensations and complaints in general > Crawling, voluptuous itching**

3. Rubrics for Environmental and Circumstantial Modalities

Modalities modify the pathological state and are heavily weighted in Boger's grand generalizations.

- **Skin and exterior body > Eruptions > washing, agg.** *(For cases irritated by water, standard soaps, or wet occupations)*

- **Conditions in general > Warmth, of bed, agg.** *(Indicated when pruritus peaks under blankets, typical of Sulphur)*
- **Conditions in general > Water and washing, agg.**
- **Conditions in general > Scratching, after, agg.** *(For lesions that bleed, burn, or spread rapidly after scratching)*
- **Conditions in general > Open air, amel.**

4. Rubrics for Causation (The Toxic/Allergenic Trigger)

A cornerstone of the BBCR, identifying the exciting cause bridges the diagnosis directly to the homoeopathic match.

- **Repertory of Causation > Poisoning, bad effects of** *(For contact with external poisons, toxic industrial agents, or corrosive occupational substances)*
- **Repertory of Causation > Washing, water, working in** *(For cleaners, hairdressers, or cooks with occupational hand dermatitis)*
- **Sensations and complaints in general > Poisons, stings, etc.** *(For plant-induced allergic contact dermatitis like Rhus Toxicodendron or poison ivy*

CONCLUSION

This clinical study demonstrates that the application of Pathological Generals and Causation via the BBCR provides a highly reliable, structured, and clinically effective approach for the homoeopathic treatment of contact dermatitis, offering substantial relief and improving the patient's overall quality of life.

Ultimately, this study confirms that a structured, tissue-affinity-based homoeopathic approach offers a safe, gentle, and reliable alternative to conventional topical therapies, significantly reducing the disease burden and improving the overall quality of life for individuals suffering from contact dermatitis.

REFERENCES

1. GBD 2021 Diseases and Injuries Collaborators. Global burden of 288 diseases and injuries in 204 countries and territories, 1990–2021: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2021. *Lancet*. 2024;403(10438):1729-1788.
2. Wang X, Zhang Y, Liu L, et al. Trends and projections of dermatitis burden (1990–2040): a systematic epidemiological overview across G20 nations. *Front Med (Lausanne)*. 2026; 13:1696683.

3. Litchman G, Nair PA, Atwater AR. Allergic contact dermatitis: Mechanisms, management, and control strategies. *Dermatol Times*. 2024;45(3):18-22.
4. Sharma R, Kumar A, Singh M. Epidemiological pattern of contact dermatitis among urban populations: A cross-sectional analytical study. *J Med Life Health*. 2020;11(2):142-147.
5. Boger CM. *Boenninghausen's Characteristics and Repertory*. Reprint ed. New Delhi: B. Jain Publishers; 1991.
6. Kumari S, Nath A, Mishra T. Importance of pathological generals in Boger Boenninghausen's Characteristics and Repertory (BBCR) in general practice. *Homeopathy 360*. 2023;14(7):12-17.
7. Devi P, Kumar S, Jena PK. Homoeopathic management in cases of contact dermatitis using Boenninghausen's approach: An open-label clinical trial. *Int J Ayush*. 2024;13(1):45-52.
8. Raj R, Nair S. Contact dermatitis treated with individualized homoeopathic medicine: A scientific case repository and evaluation. *Res Gate Dermatol*. 2026;18(3):214-220.