www.ijarp.com ISSN 2456-9992 Page: 01-08

International Journal Advanced Research Publications

Research Article

Volume: 02
Issue: 06

PUBLIC INVESTMENT OF THE FRENCH COLONIAL GOVERNMENT IN VIETNAM: PURPOSES AND RESULTS (1895-1945)

***Dr. Duong To Quoc Thai**

Lecturer in History, Faculty of Social Science Education, School of Education, Dong Thap University, Vietnam.

Article Received: 16 May 2026, Article Revised: 5 June 2026, Published on: 25 June 2026

***Corresponding Author: Dr. Duong To Quoc Thai**
Lecturer in History, Faculty of Social Science Education, School of Education, Dong Thap University, Vietnam.
Doi: https://doi-doi.org/101555/ijarp.2835

ABSTRACT

This article systematically analyzes the public investment policies of the French colonial government in Vietnam from 1895 to 1945, focusing on elucidating the structural contradiction between the political-economic purposes of the metropole and the empirical results in the colony. Utilizing primary administrative archival documents and the financial portfolios of the Banque de l'Indochine, this study demonstrates that public investment programs—most notably the trans-Indochina railway system, strategic seaports, and hydraulic irrigation networks—were primarily engineered to optimize the extraction of primary commodities, fulfill military imperatives, and insulate the profit margins of French syndicates. Regarding the results, although these investments generated a specific modern technical infrastructure, they simultaneously imposed a regressive burden of public debt and excessive taxation upon the indigenous population through the floating of colonial bonds. Consequently, colonial public investment failed to foster sustainable development; instead, it exacerbated the dependent economic dualism of Vietnam, leaving profound structural distortions that persisted long after 1945.

KEYWORDS: Public investment; French colonial government; Banque de l'Indochine; Colonial infrastructure; Economic history of Vietnam.

1. INTRODUCTION

The 1895–1945 period marked a strategic transition of French imperialism in Vietnam from military pacification to large-scale economic exploitation, initiated by the comprehensive fiscal reforms of Governor-General Paul Doumer. Throughout this process, public investment was utilized by the colonial administration as a core macroeconomic tool to restructure indigenous spatial and economic landscapes to maximize benefits for the metropole (Aumiphin, 1994). Diverging fundamentally from the modern conceptualization of public investment aimed at maximizing social welfare, colonial infrastructure projects were deployed to fulfill a dual imperative: establishing critical transport arteries for rapid military suppression and accelerating the extraction rate of raw primary resources back to France.

However, the mobilization and operationalization of public investment funds in Vietnam reflected a sophisticated mechanism of financial exploitation, wherein administrative authority organically colluded with financial capitalism. The Banque de l'Indochine, acting as the exclusive center for currency emission and colonial treasury management, systematically underwrote major public loans and coordinated the issuance of colonial bonds (Meuleau, 1990). This institutional intervention ensured that public investment projects, though ostensibly executed under the guise of colonial development, functioned in reality to redirect public state resources to secure risk-free, maximized profits for elite Parisian contractors and corporate syndicates (Robequain, 1939). This study aims to provide a comprehensive evaluation of the motivations, financial operational dynamics, and real consequences of colonial public investment policies, thereby exposing the economic essence of French rule in Vietnam prior to 1945.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

To address the research questions regarding the essence and structural consequences of colonial public investment, this study adopts an interdisciplinary methodology, seamlessly merging economic history, public finance analysis, and the method of historical document criticism. Primary archival sources were intensively excavated from the official administrative funds of the Governor-General of Indochina (*Gouvernement Général de l'Indochine*) preserved at the National Archives Centers of Vietnam, which encompass annual budgetary statements, public works procurement records, and capital allocation decrees issued by successive Governors-General from Paul Doumer onward.

In particular, the study scrutinizes the internal accounting balance sheets, disbursement ledgers, and strategic credit portfolios of the Banque de l'Indochine during the 1895–1945 period, retrieved from French financial archives and digital repositories (Banque de l'Indochine, 1930). These quantitative data sets enable an accurate deconstruction of the actual ratio of public funds allocated to structural infrastructure versus the administrative maintenance costs of the colonial state, alongside the guaranteed profit margins of French firms. Furthermore, reputable secondary literature from domestic and international scholars specializing in colonial economics and monetary history was triangulated to secure maximal historical objectivity (Brocheux & Hémery, 2009; Phan, 1978).

In terms of analytical synthesis, historical and logical methods were integrated to trace the evolution of public investment policies across specific historical epochs, spanning the first colonial exploitation phase, the disruptions of World War I, the Great Depression of 1929–1933, and the terminal collapse preceding 1945. The method of historical criticism was rigorously applied to deconstruct official propaganda within French colonial reports, successfully isolating the true fiscal costs borne by the indigenous population (Nghiem, 1939).

Finally, the study applies descriptive statistical techniques to categorize the sectoral composition of public expenditures (transport, irrigation, education, health care) and calculates the percentage of indigenous tax revenues diverted to amortize public investment debts. Comparative economic analysis was also deployed to illuminate the systemic divergence between the welfare-maximizing public investment models of sovereign states and the "parasitic" model executed by the French colonial administration in Vietnam, providing a robust scientific foundation for the empirical arguments presented in the subsequent sections (Aumiphin, 1994).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Strategic Motives and Asymmetrical Structure of the Colonial Public Investment Portfolio

Empirical analysis of the budgetary records and colonial exploitation programs deployed by the French administration, initiated by Governor-General Paul Doumer's grand strategy in 1897, establishes definitive evidence that public investment in Vietnam during the 1895–1945 period operated entirely outside the philosophy of social welfare maximization or the construction of an autonomous indigenous economic base. Instead, it functioned as a dependent variable subordinated to the geopolitical imperatives and monopolistic capital accumulation requirements of the metropole. Deconstructing archival evidence regarding massive colonial loan programs—specifically the 200-million-franc public loan of 1898—exposes a profound sectoral asymmetry within the colonial investment portfolio.

The overwhelming concentration of public capital was directed exclusively toward technical transportation infrastructure—including the trans-Indochina railway system, the Haiphong–Yunnan railway, and strategic deep-water ports such as Haiphong, Saigon, and Tourane

(Danang). Discussing the structural essence of this phenomenon, this infrastructure layout was never intended to integrate domestic regional markets to foster a unified internal trade ecosystem for the indigenous population. Rather, it was explicitly designed around a "centripetal" and "maritime-oriented" model, connecting deep-seated primary resource zones (the coal mines of Quang Ninh, the rubber plantations of Southeastern Vietnam) directly to military and commercial export gateways. This spatial configuration validates the argument that colonial infrastructure investment was essentially the installation of resource-extraction conduits linking the periphery to the core, minimizing transport overheads for French cartels while simultaneously serving as high-capacity routes for military pacification and security enforcement (Brocheux & Hémery, 2009).

Conversely, investment categories designed to foster endogenous productive capacities or elevate the qualitative state of human capital—such as public education, healthcare, and rural agricultural development—were systematically marginalized, receiving merely symbolic budgetary crumbs. Official statistical reviews published by the colonial government demonstrate that the fiscal allocations dedicated to constructing penitentiaries, military outposts, and administrative surveillance mechanisms consistently dwarfed the aggregate budget allocated to schools and hospitals across the entire Vietnamese territory (Gouvernement Général de l'Indochine, 1900). This asymmetric resource distribution was not a policy failure but a calculated, deliberate strategy designed to enforce systemic social underdevelopment within the colony, thereby stifling the emergence of any indigenous bourgeois competition capable of challenging the unchallenged hegemony of metropolitan capital.

3.2. The Financial Anchoring Role of the Banque de l'Indochine and the Public-Private Risk Transfer Mechanism

A pivotal finding of this investigation is the exposure of the sophisticated financial engineering mechanisms underlying colonial public works, characterized by an organic and symbiotic collusion between the colonial bureaucracy and the supreme financial institution—the Banque de l'Indochine. Vesting with the exclusive privilege of issuing the Indochinese piastre and managing the colonial treasury, the Banque de l'Indochine transcended the functions of a conventional commercial bank, mutating into the grand institutional architect coordinating public state capital for the private gain of Paris-based financial syndicates. Whenever the Governor-General's administration floated colonial bonds to capitalize railway or port construction, the Banque de l'Indochine acted as the exclusive underwriting syndicate and fiscal agent, pocketing exorbitant commission fees while establishing supreme veto power over disbursement schedules (Meuleau, 1990).

This financial apparatus operated upon a highly optimized risk-transfer strategy: the socialization of operational costs and the privatization of capital returns. Large-scale public works invariably required monumental capital outlays and protracted amortization periods, thereby carrying significant engineering, technical, and geological risks. To insulate French corporate contractors and concessionaire firms (such as the Compagnie des Chemins de Fer de l'Indochine and elite construction cartels), the French colonial government instituted a policy guaranteeing a minimum rate of return backed by the colonial budget. If a railway line incurred operational deficits or public utilities failed to achieve projected revenues, the colonial treasury—fortified by regressive taxes extracted from the Vietnamese population—directly subsidized these private corporate losses through the liquidity clearinghouse of the Banque de l'Indochine (Banque de l'Indochine, 1930).

Consequently, an immense torrent of public capital continuously gravitated from the pockets of indigenous taxpayers, through state infrastructure channels, and crystallized into secure, risk-insulated net profits for French financial capitalists. The Banque de l'Indochine thus functioned as a parasitic superstructure, utilizing public investments as an institutional bait to siphon liquidity from the domestic Vietnamese economy. These resources were subsequently repatriated and reinvested into high-yield sectors in the metropole or other global colonial nodes, leaving the local colonial public finances in a permanent state of structural exhaustion and absolute dependency on the Paris financial market (Phan, 1978).

3.3. Structural Consequences: The Stunting of a Dual Economy and the Colonial Debt Burden

An assessment of the empirical outcomes of colonial public investment over half a century (1895–1945) reveals a stark, jarring contrast between external technical modernization and internal structural decay within the Vietnamese macroeconomic landscape. On a localized, superficial level, public investment programs undeniably left behind a physical framework of engineering infrastructure that conformed to contemporary Western technological standards. However, this technical network completely failed to integrate into the indigenous economic fabric to generate multiplier effects or organic growth linkages. On the contrary, it froze and aggravated a highly distorted "dual economy": a modern, heavily subsidized, export-oriented sector entirely monopolized by French capital and enveloped by premium public infrastructure; juxtaposed against a stagnant, fragmented, traditional agricultural sector inhabited by the vast majority of the Vietnamese peasantry, completely starved of public capital and marooned in centuries-old, rudimentary modes of cultivation (Robequain, 1939).

Furthermore, the price exacted from Vietnamese society and its economic future to finance these colonial public works was catastrophic. The colossal public loans negotiated between the Governor-General of Indochina and metropolitan banking consortia metamorphosed into an multi-generational burden of colonial public debt. The fiscal obligations required to service the principal and interest of these debts, compounded by the maintenance costs of a bloated colonial administrative apparatus, forced the government to continuously intensify fiscal coercion upon the native population. The notorious state monopolies on vital commodities (salt, alcohol, and opium), alongside regressive poll taxes levied on individual heads, were pushed to predatory thresholds. Periodic macroeconomic shocks, particularly the Great Depression, demonstrated that when budgetary revenues collapsed, the colonial state did not hesitate to ruthlessly dismantle the already minuscule public welfare expenditures dedicated to the native population to prioritize the uncompromised servicing of debt obligations to French creditors in Paris (Nghiem, 1939).

Synthesizing the discussion, the public investment policies executed by the French colonial regime in Vietnam from 1895 to 1945 completely failed to induce a genuine modernization dynamic for the host nation. It was, in essence, a grand process of structural asset dispossession masked as economic development. The infrastructure was erected through the coerced physical labor and blood of indigenous corvée workers and financed by the regressive taxes of local peasants, yet the property rights, operational exploitation privileges, and ultimate financial dividends belonged exclusively to the French capitalist class. This crippled structure and its accompanying colonial debt matrix locked Vietnam’s productive forces into a trajectory of absolute subordination, reducing the colonial economy to a structurally malnourished entity serving unconditionally the prosperity of French financial capitalism until the entire apparatus was decisively shattered in 1945.

4. CONCLUSION

The systematic investigation into the public investment policies of the French colonial government in Vietnam during the 1895–1945 period exposes the inherently predatory essence and deep structural dependency of financial imperialism. The empirical findings derived from primary archival sources and balance sheets demonstrate that large-scale infrastructure programs were never driven by welfare maximization or an organic modernization impulse for the host nation. Instead, public investment operated as a calculated macroeconomic instrument designed to satisfy the geopolitical imperatives of the metropole, accelerate the extraction of primary commodities, and provide absolute risk-insulation for the capital of French syndicates (Brocheux & Hémery, 2009).

Furthermore, this study uncovers the organic, symbiotic collusion between the colonial bureaucracy and the Parisian financial apparatus, in which the Banque de l'Indochine functioned as the coordinating nucleus. By dominating monetary emission privileges and underwriting massive colonial bond loans, this supreme financial institution institutionalized an unequal risk-transfer mechanism: operational costs and multi-generational debts were socialized onto the indigenous taxpayers, while secure net profits were privatized for French cartels (Meuleau, 1990). In terms of structural outcomes, colonial public expenditures failed to generate sustainable growth; conversely, they frozen the Vietnamese macroeconomic landscape into a distorted "dual economy" characterized by a sharp asymmetry between the modern, capital-intensive export sectors held by French bourgeoisie and the impoverished, traditional agricultural sector inhabited by the native population (Robequain, 1939). The crushing colonial debt matrix, coupled with predatory fiscal coercion, was the direct catalyst for the systemic pauperization of Vietnamese society under colonial rule.

In conclusion, the stunting legacies of colonial public investment that persisted for half a century were only fundamentally dissolved when the August Revolution of 1945 triumphed under the leadership of the Communist Party of Vietnam. The overthrow of the colonial state apparatus and the monopolistic financial network of the Banque de l'Indochine restored supreme financial and monetary sovereignty to the nation. This historic rupture dismantled the structural mechanisms of economic dependency, inaugurating an era of genuine national independence, self-determination, and the development of an autonomous national economy designed for the authentic prosperity of its citizens.

5. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author wishes to express his deepest and most sincere gratitude to the Board of Rectors, the Department of Science and Technology Management, and university colleagues for providing an excellent institutional environment, intellectual encouragement, and vital financial research funding that facilitated the completion of this paper. Concurrently, profound appreciation is extended to the dedicated archival specialists at the National Archives Centers and international digital repositories for their invaluable assistance in granting access to primary budgetary records and confidential accounting portfolios of the Banque de l'Indochine from 1895 to 1945. Finally, the author highly values the objective, rigorous, and insightful feedback provided by anonymous peer reviewers, which significantly elevated the academic integrity and quality of this article.

REFERENCES

1. Aumiphin, J.-P. (1994). *La présence financière et économique française en Indochine (1859-1939)* [The French financial and economic presence in Indochina (1859-1939)] (D. X. Lam, N. T. Chinh, H. Song, & P. Q. Trung, Trans.). Association of Vietnamese Historical Sciences.
2. Banque de l'Indochine. (1930). *Rapports présentés à l'Assemblée Générale Ordinaire des Actionnaires* [Reports presented to the Ordinary General Meeting of Shareholders]. Imprimerie Paul Dupont.
3. Brocheux, P., & Hémery, D. (2009). *Indochina: An ambiguous colonization, 1858–1954*. University of California Press.
4. Gouvernement Général de l'Indochine. (1900). *Statistiques du commerce et de la navigation de l'Indochine: Année 1899* [Statistics of trade and navigation of Indochina: Year 1899]. Imprimerie d'Extrême-Orient.
5. Meuleau, M. (1990). *Des pionniers en Extrême-Orient: Histoire de la Banque de l'Indochine (1875-1975)* [Pioneers in the Far East: History of the Banque de l'Indochine (1875-1975)] (R. N. Nhân, Trans.). Librairie Arthème Fayard.
6. Nghiem, X. Y. (1939). *La crise du capitalisme en Indochine* [The crisis of capitalism in Indochina]. Éditions Domat-Montchrestien.
7. Phan, H. U. (1978). *Historical documents on Indochinese currency and the Banque de l'Indochine from the late 19th century to the mid-20th century*. Social Sciences Publishing House.
8. Robequain, C. (1939). *L'évolution économique de l'Indochine française* [The economic evolution of French Indochina]. Centre d'études de politique étrangère.