www.ijarp.com ISSN 2456-9992 Page: 01-22

International Journal Advanced Research Publications

Review Article

Volume: 02
Issue: 07

A NARRATIVE REVIEW OF COLLEGE STUDENTS' PERCEPTIONS OF CARDIOVASCULAR DISEASE RISKS

Dr Prabhdeep Kaur*[1], Dr Pushpdeep Singh[2]

PhD, Department of Physiotherapy, Sunrise University, Alwar, Rajasthan, India.[1]

Professor, Department of Physiotherapy, Sunrise University, Alwar, Rajasthan, India.[2]

Article Received: 15 June 2026, Article Revised: 05 July 2026, Published on: 25 July 2026

***Corresponding Author: Dr Prabhdeep Kaur**

PhD, Department of Physiotherapy, Sunrise University, Alwar, Rajasthan, India.

Doi: https://doi-doi.org/101555/ijarp.7117

ABSTRACT

Background: Cardiovascular disease (CVD) remains the leading cause of mortality worldwide, with an increasing burden among young adults due to the early adoption of unhealthy lifestyle behaviors. The college years represent a critical period during which dietary habits, physical inactivity, stress, tobacco use, and other behavioral risk factors become established. Despite growing awareness of cardiovascular health, many university students underestimate their personal susceptibility to CVD, limiting the effectiveness of preventive strategies.**Objective:** This narrative review aims to synthesize current evidence regarding college students' perceptions of cardiovascular disease risk, cardiovascular health literacy, behavioral risk factors, psychological influences on risk perception, and emerging approaches for early prevention and health promotion within university settings.**Methods:** A comprehensive narrative review of published literature was conducted using peer-reviewed articles focusing on cardiovascular disease knowledge, risk perception, health literacy, lifestyle behaviors, and preventive interventions among college and university students. Relevant evidence from international studies was critically analyzed to identify common themes and emerging trends.**Results:** The literature demonstrates that although many students possess moderate knowledge of traditional cardiovascular risk factors, significant deficiencies remain in understanding disease mechanisms, symptom recognition, and personal vulnerability. A consistent finding is the presence of optimistic bias and the knowledge-risk paradox, whereby increased knowledge does not necessarily translate into accurate risk perception or healthy behavior. Poor dietary habits, physical inactivity, obesity, psychological stress, tobacco use, and alcohol consumption frequently cluster among students,

substantially increasing long-term cardiovascular risk. Recent evidence also highlights the potential of advanced cardiovascular screening, machine learning-based risk stratification, mobile health (mHealth) interventions, behavioral economics, and community-based screening programs to improve early identification of at-risk individuals and promote healthier lifestyles.**Conclusion:** College students represent a strategically important population for primary cardiovascular disease prevention. Traditional educational approaches alone are insufficient to modify health behavior. Universities should adopt comprehensive, personalized, and technology-enabled cardiovascular wellness programs that combine objective risk assessment, digital health interventions, behavioral change strategies, and campus-wide preventive initiatives to improve cardiovascular awareness and reduce future disease burden.

KEYWORDS: Cardiovascular disease, College students, University students, Risk perception, Health literacy, Lifestyle behaviors, Optimistic bias, Cardiovascular prevention, mHealth, Narrative review.

INTRODUCTION

Cardiovascular diseases (CVDs) constitute the leading cause of morbidity and premature mortality worldwide, accounting for an estimated 17.9 million deaths annually, which represents nearly 31% of all global mortality[1]. Historically, the clinical manifestations of cardiovascular events—such as ischemic heart disease, cerebrovascular strokes, and peripheral arterial disease—were primarily conceptualized as afflictions of advanced age and predominantly confined to high-income nations. However, the contemporary epidemiological and demographic landscape has undergone a profound and highly concerning transformation over the past three decades. The global burden has shifted aggressively, with over 75% of cardiovascular deaths now occurring in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs)[2]. More alarmingly, the temporal onset of clinical and subclinical cardiovascular deterioration has shifted toward increasingly younger demographic cohorts[2].

The pathogenesis of atherosclerosis and endothelial dysfunction is not an acute, spontaneous phenomenon that suddenly emerges in mid-life. Rather, the pathophysiological foundations of adult cardiovascular disease are invariably laid during childhood, adolescence, and early adulthood. Autopsy studies of otherwise healthy organ donors between the ages of 20 and 29 years have revealed the presence of atherosclerotic "fatty streaks" in the coronary arteries of over 37% of individuals, indicating that the morphological changes preceding clinical heart

disease are already well underway by the second decade of life[7]. The transition from late adolescence to early adulthood—specifically the university and college demographic encompassing individuals typically aged 18 to 25 years—therefore represents a highly critical, biologically sensitive, yet frequently neglected window for primary cardiovascular prevention[2].

During this transitional life stage, young adults experience unprecedented autonomy over their daily schedules, lifestyle choices, dietary habits, and physical activity routines[8]. Concurrently, the academic environment itself often acts as a potent structural catalyst for the adoption of severe behavioral risk factors. The rigorous demands of higher education, combined with peer influence and independent living, frequently precipitate the consumption of hypercaloric diets rich in saturated fats and sodium, chronic physical inactivity, harmful alcohol use, tobacco and nicotine consumption, and sustained psychosocial stress[2]. These behavioral shifts are accompanied by rapid physiological changes; young adults experience a 2% to 4% increase in the relative risk of future cardiac events for every marginal increase in weight status during this period[7].

Despite the early physiological embedding of these metabolic and behavioral risk factors, university students frequently navigate this critical period operating under a profound illusion of invulnerability. Clinical and academic investigations reveal a highly complex, often contradictory landscape regarding how this specific demographic perceives cardiovascular risk[10]. While overarching, superficial knowledge regarding basic cardiovascular terminology is often adequate, the translation of this intellectual knowledge into accurate personal risk perception and subsequent protective health behavior is severely compromised[2].

This comprehensive narrative review synthesizes the current literature surrounding college students' perceptions of cardiovascular disease risks. It critically examines the intricacies and disparities of their cardiovascular health literacy, the profound cognitive distortions characterized by optimistic bias, the emergence of the "knowledge-risk paradox," the epidemiological prevalence and synergistic clustering of behavioral risk trajectories, and the next-generation technological, clinical, and institutional interventions required to mitigate this escalating public health crisis.

The Fragmented Landscape of Cardiovascular Health Literacy

Health literacy is defined as the degree to which an individual possesses the capacity to access, process, and comprehend basic health information and services necessary to inform and participate in complex health decisions[13]. It is a foundational prerequisite for effective

cardiovascular disease prevention. Inadequate health literacy is strongly associated with a limited understanding of medical conditions, poorer overall health status, higher healthcare expenditures, and an increased likelihood of rehospitalization and mortality later in life[13]. Within university populations, the baseline level of cardiovascular knowledge presents a highly fragmented picture, heavily dependent on the students' academic disciplines, geographic locations, cultural environments, and socio-economic backgrounds.

Superficial Awareness Versus Granular Physiological Understanding

Surveys of global university cohorts generally indicate a moderate to high baseline awareness of the primary, classical risk factors associated with cardiovascular disease. For instance, a comprehensive study evaluating university students in Egypt found exceptionally high general awareness regarding the role of blood pressure control (87.6%), physical activity (85.1%), obesity (85.6%), smoking (90%), and chronological aging (89.6%) in elevating cardiovascular risk[1]. Similarly, among an extensive cohort of 1,300 undergraduate students in North-Central Nigeria, participants demonstrated a moderate to high overall cardiovascular disease knowledge score, answering approximately 77.6% of basic cardiovascular health questions correctly[2].

However, this superficial awareness frequently fails to extend to more granular, scientific, or physiological understandings of the disease processes. While students can easily identify "junk food" or a "lack of exercise" as broadly detrimental to health, they exhibit significant cognitive deficits in understanding lipid profiles, metabolic parameters, and atypical symptomatology. In the aforementioned Egyptian cohort, low awareness was noted regarding the specific protective physiological role of High-Density Lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol (only 45.8% awareness) and the physiological impact of dietary trans fats on overall cholesterol profiles (23.9% awareness)[1].

Furthermore, a study of non-medical university students in Pakistan revealed that while common, highly publicized symptoms like chest pain were widely recognized, awareness of atypical myocardial infarction symptoms and early stroke warning signs was comparatively dismal[15]. This lack of granular symptom recognition is mirrored in qualitative assessments of diverse populations. Interviews designed to gauge cardiovascular health literacy revealed profound semantic and mechanistic misunderstandings; some individuals hypothesized that a stroke was merely a localized muscle failure or that cholesterol was an artificial flavor additive, highlighting the vast chasm between recognizing a medical term and understanding its physiological implication[16].

Knowledge Domain	Characteristics of High Recognition (>80%)	Characteristics of Low Recognition (<60%)
Diet and Nutrition	Broad understanding that "fast food" and excessive sodium are generally unhealthy.	Understanding the differential roles of HDL vs. LDL cholesterol, and the specific impact of trans fats.
Physiology	Acknowledging obesity and physical inactivity as negative health states.	Comprehending myocardial oxygen supply, endothelial function, and blood sugar control mechanisms.
Symptomatology	Recognizing crushing chest pain and shortness of breath as acute cardiac events.	Identifying atypical infarction symptoms (e.g., jaw pain, nausea) and stroke warning signs (e.g., localized numbness, aphasia).
Heredity and Genetics	Basic recognition that a family history of disease may be relevant.	Understanding the specific impact of familial dyslipidemias or the synergistic risk of genetics combined with lifestyle.

The Academic Discipline Divide and Information Sourcing

A persistent and logical theme in the literature is the stratification of cardiovascular health literacy by academic discipline, notably the stark divergence between medical and non-medical students. Students enrolled in health sciences, medicine, pharmacy, or dentistry naturally exhibit significantly higher knowledge scores regarding cardiovascular pathophysiology compared to their peers in the humanities or engineering[1]. A comparative study of medical and non-medical students demonstrated that medical students possessed a vastly superior understanding of the true nature of heart disease, the specific physiological factors that cause it, and the cascading consequences of high-risk behaviors[17].

This divergence is also reflected in the sources from which these demographic subgroups derive their health information. Medical students predominantly rely on academic textbooks, peer-reviewed literature, and formal clinical instruction as their primary sources of cardiovascular health information, cultivating a scientifically rigorous understanding of the disease[17]. In contrast, non-medical students heavily rely on informal, unregulated sources, primarily the internet, social media, family members, and peer networks[17]. The reliance on the internet and informal networks exposes non-medical students to a high volume of conflicting, unverified, or sensationalized health advice, contributing to the superficial nature of their risk comprehension.

The Persistence of Erroneous Beliefs and Cultural Health Myths

Beyond mere knowledge gaps, the cognitive landscape of young adults is frequently populated by persistent, culturally embedded health myths that actively undermine preventive efforts. A cross-sectional survey of college-going youth pursuing undergraduate degrees in a peri-urban area of South India (Bengaluru) identified several highly prevalent and dangerous erroneous beliefs. Approximately one in five students in the cohort believed that cardiovascular disease is strictly an urban problem that does not affect rural populations, or worse, that it is an infectious disease caused by bacteria, viruses, or parasites[4].

Furthermore, one in three students harbored the profound misconception that individuals who are adhering to pharmacological treatments—such as taking daily antihypertensive or antidiabetic medications—are completely absolved from the need to follow lifestyle modifications[19]. Other prevalent myths included the belief that smokeless tobacco products are harmless to cardiovascular health, or that cardiovascular disease can be "completely cured" rather than managed chronically[19]. These foundational misunderstandings highlight the critical necessity for targeted, culturally contextualized health education that addresses specific local misconceptions directly, rather than relying on generic, globally standardized public health messaging.

Risk Perception and Cognitive Distortions

The Health Belief Model (HBM) serves as a primary theoretical framework for understanding preventive health behaviors. The HBM posits that an individual's likelihood of adopting a health-promoting action is heavily dictated by their perceived susceptibility to an illness and their perceived severity of that specific illness, weighed against the perceived barriers to action[2]. Traditional public health interventions are largely predicated on a highly linear assumption: increasing an individual's intellectual knowledge about a disease will naturally enhance their perceived susceptibility to it, thereby triggering protective behavioral changes. However, extensive research within university populations fundamentally challenges this linear paradigm, revealing a landscape defined by cognitive dissonance, defensive distancing, and profound psychological biases.

The Disconnect Between Actual Risk and Perceived Vulnerability

Studies consistently demonstrate that college students harbor a remarkably low perception of their personal vulnerability to cardiovascular disease, irrespective of their actual clinical risk profiles. In a comprehensive study of college students in the midwestern United States,

researchers utilized cluster analysis to explore the discrepancy between students' perceived risk and their actual 30-year projected cardiovascular risk, utilizing Framingham-based scoring[12]. The participants were highly knowledgeable about cardiovascular risk factors conceptually, yet they staunchly refused to perceive themselves as being at risk. The cluster analysis revealed specific cohorts of students who possessed the highest actual clinical risk profiles but exhibited only moderate or low risk perception, highlighting a dangerous area necessitating immediate clinical intervention[21].

This low perceived vulnerability is echoed globally. Among the 1,300 undergraduates surveyed in North-Central Nigeria, overall risk perception was profoundly low. Approximately 72.1% of the students explicitly dismissed the likelihood of ever experiencing a future cardiovascular event, and 78.4% disagreed that they would experience any CVD event in the next decade[2]. Additionally, 58.3% reported being entirely "not worried" about cardiac events[2]. This pervasive dismissal of personal vulnerability exists in stark contrast to actual epidemiological data, which reveals a rising tide of metabolic dysfunction, elevated blood pressure, and subclinical atherosclerosis in this exact demographic[7]. The disconnect signifies a failure in the internalization of health information. Young adults may intellectually accept that smoking, obesity, and physical inactivity cause heart attacks, but they cognitively segregate this reality from their own biological future, projecting the clinical consequences onto an abstract, older "other."

The Manifestation of Optimistic Bias

This cognitive segregation is fundamentally driven by a robust psychological phenomenon known as optimistic bias, occasionally referred to as unrealistic optimism. Optimistic bias is defined as the deeply ingrained psychological tendency for individuals to significantly underestimate their personal likelihood of experiencing negative future events—such as chronic illness, injury, or addiction—while simultaneously overestimating their likelihood of experiencing positive events, particularly in comparison to their peers[22].

Optimistic bias is characterized by several core attributes: the chronic underestimation of personal risk, vague but persistent confidence in personal health, overly positive future predictions, and an absolute lack of risk recognition[24]. It operates through two distinct cognitive mechanisms: absolute optimism and comparative optimism[23]. Unrealistic absolute optimism occurs when an individual provides a personal risk estimate that is quantitatively lower than the actual risk indicated by an objective clinical standard or epidemiological baseline[23]. Conversely, unrealistic comparative optimism occurs when an individual assesses

their risk as being significantly lower than the risk of their direct peers, completely regardless of objective clinical metrics[22].

In a seminal assessment of heart disease risk perception among 470 undergraduate men and women at major four-year institutions, a staggering 68% of the respondents rated their personal risk of developing coronary heart disease as "lower" or "much lower" than that of their direct peers, demonstrating a massive comparative optimistic bias[10]. Because it is mathematically impossible for 68% of a representative population sample to genuinely possess below-average risk, this finding highlights a systemic, population-level cognitive distortion.

The maintenance of optimistic bias is heavily supported by the "illusion of control" and "overconfidence." Young adults—particularly those engaged in isolated healthy behaviors, such as occasional exercise—tend to massively overvalue the protective cardiovascular efficacy of these specific strategies while ignoring deleterious behaviors[26]. For example, students who exercise regularly frequently rate their risk of coronary disease exponentially lower than non-exercisers, often completely ignoring other concurrent, highly potent risk factors they possess, such as regular binge drinking, nicotine consumption, or a strong family history of early-onset myocardial infarction[10].

Socio-Demographic and Gender Influences on Risk Perception

The manifestation of optimistic bias and risk perception is not uniform; it is heavily modulated by socio-demographic factors, cultural codes, and gender. A population-based survey in the Seychelles examining the perception of cardiovascular risk compared to actual calculated risk found that the ability to accurately perceive risk was strongly associated with socioeconomic status (SES)[27]. Individuals from higher SES backgrounds were significantly more likely to report perceived risks, while those with lower SES often lacked the capacity to formulate a quantitative risk estimate[27]. Furthermore, the study noted that individuals currently undergoing treatment for risk factors perceived their risk as significantly higher than non-treated individuals, suggesting that interaction with the healthcare system serves as a primary catalyst for breaking the illusion of invulnerability[27].

Gender also plays a complex role in risk appraisal. Research indicates that college women generally perceive a wider array of risk markers to be more potent or causative factors for cardiovascular disease than college men do[10]. However, this heightened awareness among women does not reliably translate into protective action. Despite having overall good knowledge of heart disease risk markers, many young women fail to recognize their specific

long-term vulnerabilities, such as the drastically increased risk of developing heart disease post-menopause[11]. Consequently, young women often report self-protective health behaviors at levels no higher than men, and frequently do not perceive heart disease as a primary, substantial health concern specific to their gender, still viewing it largely as a male affliction[11].

The Misappraisal of Psychosocial Stress

Beyond traditional metabolic and behavioral risk factors, optimistic bias severely distorts how college students appraise psychosocial stress. The university environment is inherently stressful, characterized by intense academic pressure, financial strain, the challenges of work-life-school balance, and the complex social transitions of early adulthood[15]. Chronic psychological stress exerts direct, deleterious pathophysiological effects on the cardiovascular system through the sustained activation of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis, resulting in chronically elevated cortisol levels, increased systemic inflammation, and profound autonomic nervous system dysregulation[2].

Research indicates that an exceptionally high proportion of university students experience chronic, severe stress. In a study of undergraduate medical students in eastern India, high perceived stress—measured via the validated Perceived Stress Scale (PSS)—was identified in nearly a quarter of the cohort, often co-occurring with low physical activity and poor diet[29]. Despite this overwhelming prevalence, optimistic bias creates a critical clinical blind spot. Students may universally acknowledge the clinical fact that "stress causes heart attacks," yet they simultaneously fail to categorize their own personal academic anxiety, sleep deprivation, or coping deficits as genuine, active cardiovascular threats[2]. They cognitively compartmentalize collegiate stress as a normative, transient rite of passage rather than recognizing it as a toxic, persistent physiological state requiring active cardiovascular risk management.

The Knowledge-Risk Paradox and Behavioral Intentions

The culmination of optimistic bias and defensive psychological distancing within the university environment frequently manifests in a phenomenon termed the "knowledge-risk paradox." In multiple distinct cohorts, advanced statistical analyses have uncovered a statistically significant *inverse* relationship between disease knowledge and personal risk perception[2].

In the comprehensive Nigerian university cohort study comparing students at the University

of Jos (UNIJOS) and Nasarawa State University (NSUK), students who achieved the highest scores on cardiovascular knowledge assessments reported significantly *lower* perceived personal risk ($r = -0.187, p < 0.001$) compared to their peers with lower knowledge scores[2]. This indicates that instead of driving healthy caution, increased awareness may actively create a false sense of security. As young adults gain intellectual mastery over cardiovascular concepts, this cognitive familiarity breeds a false sense of control[2]. A highly informed student may unconsciously assume that their mere intellectual awareness of the risk factors provides a protective, immunological barrier against the disease. Consequently, well-informed individuals often feel more protected from the disease, creating a formidable psychological barrier to actual physical prevention.

Paradoxically, while perceived vulnerability remains dangerously low, students frequently self-report a high readiness to adopt healthy behaviors. In the Nigerian study, despite 72.1% of students dismissing their future cardiovascular risk, 78.1% demonstrated a high readiness to exercise, and 79.9% demonstrated a high readiness to adopt healthy dietary habits[2]. This suggests that while students possess the intention and willingness to engage in wellness behaviors, they are doing so purely for immediate lifestyle benefits (e.g., aesthetics, acute energy levels) rather than as a calculated response to long-term cardiovascular risk mitigation.

Epidemiological Reality: The High Prevalence and Clustering of Behavioral Risk Factors

While students psychologically distance themselves from the threat of cardiovascular disease via optimistic bias and the knowledge-risk paradox, objective epidemiological data paints a highly concerning picture of their actual physiological and behavioral profiles. College students globally, and particularly in rapidly developing nations, are exhibiting a high prevalence of classical modifiable risk factors, which frequently cluster together to exert a synergistic, destructive toll on endothelial function and metabolic homeostasis.

The Trajectory of Metabolic Risk: The Asian Indian Phenotype

The prevalence of overweight, obesity, and metabolic syndrome (MS) among university populations is escalating rapidly, a trend profoundly evident in India. Indian college students exhibit MS prevalence rates ranging from 5% to 18.3%, a remarkably high figure for this age demographic compared to historical global averages[9]. This heightened risk is heavily influenced by the "Asian Indian Phenotype," a deeply ingrained genetic and metabolic

predisposition prevalent in South Asian populations. This phenotype is characterized by a higher propensity for central/abdominal adiposity, profound insulin resistance, hypertriglyceridemia, and alarmingly low levels of HDL cholesterol, all of which manifest at significantly lower Body Mass Index (BMI) thresholds than those observed in Caucasian populations[7].

The early onset of these cardiovascular risks is not restricted to the college years but begins well before matriculation. Studies examining early teens and adolescents in Punjab, India, reveal that genetic predispositions combined with early lifestyle choices are already cementing future cardiovascular risk. In a study of early teens, physical inactivity showed a massive overall prevalence of 73%, with the majority of teenagers watching television for one to five hours daily, while simultaneously consuming junk food multiple times a week[32]. By the time these individuals reach university, the pathophysiological momentum is already established. Because younger adults experience a 2% to 4% increase in the relative risk of future cardiac events for every marginal increase in weight status, the prevalence of overweight students—often hovering between 30% and 56% in various regional Indian college cohorts—represents a ticking public health time bomb[7].

The Collapse of Dietary Quality and Physical Activity

The transition to university life universally corresponds with a rapid deterioration in dietary quality. Time constraints, intense academic schedules, financial limitations, and the ubiquitous availability of ultra-processed, energy-dense foods lead to erratic, sodium-rich, and nutritionally barren intakes[9]. A comprehensive study of medical students in Delhi highlighted this dietary collapse: only 12% of the students complied with the minimum clinical recommendation of consuming at least five servings of fruits and vegetables daily[33]. Conversely, nearly 32% reported frequent consumption of fast foods, 23.7% consumed carbonated soft drinks daily, and an alarming 53% reported consistently high exogenous salt intake[33].

Coupled with these hypercaloric, atherogenic diets is a profound epidemic of physical inactivity. In the same Delhi medical cohort, over 42% of students reported nil or only occasional physical activity, and more than a quarter spent over four uninterrupted hours daily engaged in sedentary activities[33]. Data from a cohort of college-going women in Chennai corroborated these findings; 77% of the subjects spent two or more hours daily on leisure screen time, while only 12% managed to meet the basic aerobic exercise recommendation of 150 minutes per week[35]. The academic demands of the university,

combined with a total cultural shift toward digital entertainment and smartphone engagement, have essentially engineered physical activity out of the daily collegiate routine.

Latent Class Analysis: Uncovering the Clustering of Risk

Cardiovascular risk behaviors do not operate in a vacuum; they are highly synergistic and frequently cluster within specific demographic subgroups. To mathematically understand how these behaviors aggregate, researchers examining college students in Chandigarh, India, utilized Latent Class Analysis (LCA)[36]. This advanced modeling technique moved beyond isolated single-risk prevalence to uncover four distinct behavioral typologies within the student body:

1. **Only Dietary and Physical Activity Risks (73.7%):** The vast majority of the population fell into this baseline class. This critically indicates that a poor diet and a profound lack of exercise are not deviant behaviors; rather, they represent the deeply normalized, standard lifestyle of the modern college student.
2. **Victimization and Injury Risks (12.1%):** A sub-cohort primarily defined by exposure to interpersonal violence, road traffic risks, and the associated severe, acute psychological stress that drives cortisol elevation.
3. **Multiple Risks (8.8%):** A highly vulnerable class exhibiting a catastrophic convergence of poor diet, inactivity, heavy substance use, unsafe sexual practices, and injury risks, representing the highest immediate threat for accelerated endothelial dysfunction.
4. **Smoking and Alcohol-Related Risks (5.4%):** A class heavily weighted toward substance abuse, particularly binge drinking and tobacco use.

The Chandigarh LCA study highlights a critical epidemiological reality: unhealthy diets and insufficient physical activity occurred almost uniformly across *all* latent classes[36]. Therefore, university health interventions that myopically target isolated behaviors (e.g., an anti-smoking campaign) will inevitably fail to address the foundational cardiovascular risks—diet and sedentariness—that universally permeate the collegiate environment.

Next-Generation Assessment: Clinical Phenotyping and Machine Learning

Given the profound unreliability of students' subjective risk perception due to the knowledge-risk paradox and optimistic bias, modern health institutions and universities are increasingly pivoting toward objective, data-driven frameworks for cardiovascular screening.

Advanced Clinical Phenotyping: The TUM4Health Model

Traditional cardiovascular screening for young adults is virtually non-existent in many healthcare systems, creating a massive prevention gap between pediatric care and mid-life geriatric assessments. The Technical University of Munich (TUM) established the TUM4Health program to holistically address this void[38]. This program provides a highly advanced, non-invasive clinical phenotyping model specifically tailored for university students.

The TUM4Health diagnostic pathway moves far beyond simple body mass index calculations and basic blood pressure cuffs. It utilizes bioimpedance body composition analysis (using the InBody 770 system) to accurately differentiate between benign elevated weight (due to high skeletal muscle mass) and true visceral adiposity[38]. Furthermore, it employs automated, validated systems like the Mobil-O-Graph to assess not only peripheral blood pressure but also central blood pressure and pulse wave velocity—a highly sensitive, early biomarker of arterial stiffening[38].

The program also integrates comprehensive Cardio-Pulmonary Exercise Testing (CPET) using bicycle ergometers coupled with breath-by-breath gas analyzers, alongside continuous 12-lead electrocardiography (ECG) and precise echocardiography to measure left ventricular posterior wall thickness[38]. By providing young adults with irrefutable, objective, and highly personalized physiological data, clinical phenotyping programs like TUM4Health directly shatter the cognitive illusion of optimistic bias. When a 21-year-old student is visually confronted with their own compromised pulse wave velocity or poor cardiopulmonary output, the abstract, intellectualized threat of cardiovascular disease immediately becomes a tangible, personal reality requiring action.

Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning in Institutional Health Data

In tandem with deep clinical phenotyping, universities are beginning to leverage artificial intelligence and machine learning (ML) to extract predictive value from routine institutional health records. A pioneering study at the Notre Dame of Dadiangas University (NDDU) in the Philippines utilized ML classification algorithms to proactively evaluate the cardiovascular risk profiles of university personnel, providing a highly scalable model applicable to student health centers[39].

The NDDU study applied ID3 entropy-based Decision Trees, K-Nearest Neighbor (KNN), and Naïve Bayes classifiers to routine annual physical examination data, focusing specifically on four primary metabolic markers: total cholesterol, uric acid, creatinine, and urinalysis

abnormalities[39]. Elevated uric acid is inextricably linked to hypertension and metabolic syndrome, while elevated creatinine signals reduced glomerular filtration, both of which are powerful precursors to cardiovascular morbidity[39]. The integration of these algorithms proved highly effective in processing complex, multi-variable health data. The KNN model demonstrated highly realistic and robust performance, achieving F1 scores ranging from 72% to 92% across different health markers[39].

The immense utility of models like the Decision Tree in this context lies in their high interpretability; the resulting classification rules can be easily understood by non-technical university health officers, allowing for the rapid stratification of the campus population into quantifiable risk tiers[39]. By co-analyzing multiple markers concurrently, machine learning systems overcome the severe limitations of isolated, single-marker screening. These predictive insights subsequently formed the architectural basis for a data-driven workplace wellness program involving targeted health education, stress management, and nutritional counseling[39]. Implementing similar ML-driven surveillance of anonymized student health center data would allow universities to deploy highly efficient, targeted outreach to at-risk student sub-populations long before clinical symptoms ever manifest.

Evolving Campus Interventions: Nudge Theory, mHealth, and Community Outreach

Equipped with a nuanced understanding of students' psychological barriers and their objective risk profiles, modern interventions are shifting rapidly away from didactic, lecture-based health education. Instead, researchers and clinicians are embracing digital platforms, behavioral economics, and proactive community-first outreach models.

Behavioral Economics and the ECHOS SMS Program

Behavioral economics, particularly "nudge theory," posits that positive reinforcement and indirect, subtle suggestions can predictably influence health behavior without restricting an individual's freedom of choice[42]. The Early Cardiovascular Health Outreach SMS (ECHOS) pilot study effectively operationalized this precise theory for a young adult demographic[42].

Recognizing the absolute ubiquity of smartphones, the ECHOS program utilized an automated, web-based mobile health application (Chorus) to deliver highly curated, 160-character text messages to adolescents and young adults over 30- to 90-day periods. Crucially, the messages were co-designed by medical students and high school students to ensure high cultural relevance, high engagement, and appropriate tone[42]. The texts functioned as micro-interventions—or "nudges"—prompting participants to briefly pause and reflect on

how their current daily habits regarding sleep, stress, and physical activity aligned with a heart-healthy lifestyle[42].

The phased approach of ECHOS yielded highly specific, actionable insights. Phase 1 identified chronic sleep deficiency as a massive, unaddressed cardiovascular threat within the cohort. Subsequent phases specifically targeting sleep hygiene (evaluated via the MOS Sleep Scale-Revised) and stress management (evaluated via the Lifestyle and Habits Questionnaire-Brief) resulted in significant, self-reported improvements in sleep quality, sleep quantity, and stress-coping mechanisms[42]. The profound success of the ECHOS program, which reported 90% participant satisfaction and high rates of recommended engagement, clearly demonstrates that low-cost, highly scalable digital interventions (mHealth) can successfully bypass the cognitive fatigue associated with traditional health education and foster genuine cardiovascular awareness in college populations.

Scaling Up: The COACH Trial and Community-First Outreach

While digital interventions address the cognitive barriers, structural barriers to care must also be dismantled. The COACH (Community Outreach and Cardiovascular Health) trial demonstrated that case management by specially trained Nurse Practitioner and Community Health Worker (CHW) teams is one of the most efficacious strategies available to improve cardiovascular risk factor management[43]. By utilizing a community-based participatory research framework, the COACH trial aggressively targeted therapeutic lifestyle changes, pharmacological management, and adherence barriers through personalized, continuous outreach[43]. Student-led health outreach clinics have been empirically shown to yield patient outcomes—such as tangible reductions in BMI, blood pressure, and HbA1c—that are statistically comparable to professional-led interventions, entirely validating the efficacy of peer-driven models within the university setting[45].

Furthermore, massive community health initiatives offer structural frameworks that are highly adaptable to university campuses. The CARDIO-India initiative—a large-scale randomized controlled trial orchestrated by the University of Leicester and the Centre for Chronic Disease Control in New Delhi—utilizes a "community-first" rather than a clinic-first approach to reach underserved populations[46]. CARDIO-India deploys Mobile Medical Units (MMUs) equipped with AI-enabled ECGs, electronic stethoscopes, and point-of-care echocardiography directly into communities, utilizing community health workers supported by clinical decision support systems and assisted telemedicine[46]. This model successfully circumvents traditional barriers to healthcare access, where the immense burden of initiating

a clinical encounter rests entirely on the patient.

Universities function as dense, highly structured micro-communities. Adopting a modified CARDIO-India framework—deploying mobile, high-tech diagnostic kiosks or student-led, peer-to-peer cardiovascular screening units directly into dormitories, student unions, and campus events—could dramatically increase screening uptake among young adults. When integrated with digital health records and AI-assisted risk stratification, this proactive, decentralized model removes the friction of seeking preventive care, directly countering the apathy generated by optimistic bias[46].

The Economic Imperative of Campus Wellness

The implementation of comprehensive cardiovascular screening and intervention programs is not merely a clinical necessity but an economic imperative. Workplace wellness programs provide a robust blueprint for calculating the return on investment (ROI) for university health initiatives. A five-year study evaluating the University of Rochester Medical Center (URMC) Employee Wellness Program demonstrated that nearly 50% of employees who were at moderate to very high risk for CVD at the start of the program successfully reduced their 10-year risk score over what was predicted[50]. Comprehensive analyses of similar programs indicate that across all risk categories, preventive cardiovascular wellness programs generate cost-savings of approximately $1,224 per individual, yielding an exceptional ROI of $4.90 for every dollar spent[51]. By aggressively adopting these comprehensive wellness models for their student populations, universities can drastically reduce long-term healthcare utilization costs, improve academic productivity, and cultivate a culture of enduring health.

CONCLUSION

The cardiovascular health profile of the modern college student is defined by a perilous and complex contradiction: while access to superficial health information has never been greater, the objective physiological risk profile of this demographic has never been worse. The rising prevalence of metabolic syndrome, central adiposity, digital sedentariness, and unmanaged psychosocial stress indicates that the foundational pathology for a massive mid-century cardiovascular disease epidemic is currently being established on university campuses globally.

This review firmly illuminates the profound inadequacy of traditional, didactic models of health education. As evidenced by the knowledge-risk paradox, equipping students with intellectual data regarding cardiovascular disease frequently triggers a compensatory

optimistic bias. Students routinely conflate their intellectual mastery of the subject with biological immunity, projecting vulnerability onto others while dangerously minimizing their own chronic exposure to stress, poor nutrition, and profound inactivity.

To effectively mitigate this impending crisis, universities and global public health organizations must completely revolutionize their approach to young adult preventive cardiology. Interventions must rapidly transition from generic risk communication to highly personalized, irrefutable risk appraisal. The widespread deployment of advanced clinical phenotyping—utilizing bioimpedance, pulse wave velocity tracking, and cardiopulmonary testing—provides the necessary objective physiological shock to shatter optimistic bias. Furthermore, the integration of machine learning algorithms to mine institutional health data allows for the seamless identification of high-risk cohorts without relying on deeply flawed self-assessments.

Finally, the delivery mechanisms for health promotion must adapt to the psychological and digital realities of the student population. Utilizing behavioral economics through nudge-based mHealth text messaging, and decentralizing diagnostics through mobile, peer-led campus screening units, offers a scalable, low-friction pathway to engagement. By engineering the university environment to promote subconscious cardiovascular wellness, and by directly confronting cognitive biases with personalized physiological data, public health infrastructure can effectively intercept the trajectory of cardiovascular disease before it irreparably damages the next generation.

REFERENCES

1. Knowledge of cardiovascular diseases among university students in Egypt - PMC, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC12824341/
2. Cardiovascular disease knowledge, risk perception, and lifestyle behaviors among university students in North-Central Nigeria: a cross-sectional study - PMC, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC12859827/
3. A Cross-Sectional Survey to Assess the Risk Factors of Cardio Vascular Disease among College Students, https://medicopublication.com/index.php/ijone/article/download/20506/17201/42898
4. Knowledge about cardio-vascular disease and its risk factors among college-going students in peri-urban Bengaluru, South India - ResearchGate, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/351013844_Knowledge_about_cardio-vascular_disease_and_its_risk_factors_among_college-going_students_in_peri-

urban_Bengaluru_South_India

5. High alert! Alarming rise in the Prevalence of cardiovascular risk events among the students of medical profession in India - PMC, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC5560884/
6. Assessment of Risk Status for Coronary artery disease in Terms of selected risk factors among bus Drivers - Asian Journal of Nursing Education and Research, https://ajner.com/HTMLPaper.aspx?Journal=Asian%20Journal%20of%20Nursing%20Education%20and%20Research;PID=2020-10-3-9
7. A review on understanding the risk factors for coronary heart disease in Indian college students - ResearchGate, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/374513133_A_review_on_understanding_the_risk_factors_for_coronary_heart_disease_in_Indian_college_students
8. Lifestyle Choices and Risk of Developing Cardiovascular Disease in College Students, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC9362881/
9. A review on understanding the risk factors for coronary heart disease in Indian college students, https://journals.lww.com/ijnc/fulltext/2023/08030/a_review_on_understanding_the_risk_factors_for.2.aspx
10. Heart disease risk perception in college men and women - PubMed, https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/12822712/
11. Heart Disease Risk Perception in College Men and Women | Request PDF - ResearchGate, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/10693793_Heart_Disease_Risk_Perception_in_College_Men_and_Women
12. Cardiovascular risk factors among college students: Knowledge, perception, and risk assessment - PubMed, https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27911653/
13. Health Literacy and Cardiovascular Disease: Fundamental Relevance to Primary and Secondary Prevention: A Scientific Statement From the American Heart Association, https://www.ahajournals.org/doi/10.1161/CIR.0000000000000579
14. Cardiovascular disease knowledge, risk perception, and lifestyle behaviors among university students in North-Central Nigeria: a cross-sectional study - PubMed, https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/41462167/
15. cardiovascular health literacy and recognition of risk factors among non-medical university students in lahore - Universal Journal of Pharmaceutical Research,

https://ujpronline.com/index.php/journal/article/download/1457/2061
16. Deaf Adolescents' Learning of Cardiovascular Health Information: Sources and Access Challenges - PMC, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC4615750/
17. Risk factors of cardiovascular disease (CVD) in young adults: a community-based study of Iranian context - PMC, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11412011/
18. Assessing the Risk Perception and Knowledge Regarding Cardiovascular Diseases in Patients With Hypertension and Diabetes in Central India: A Mixed-Methods Study - PMC, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10513351/
19. Knowledge about cardio-vascular disease and its risk factors among college-going students in peri-urban Bengaluru, South India, https://journals.lww.com/ijnc/fulltext/2021/06010/knowledge_about_cardio_vascular_disease_and_its.4.aspx
20. Cardiovascular disease risk perception among community-dwelling adults in southwest Nigeria: A mixed-method study | PLOS One, https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0313578
21. College Students' Perception of Current and Projected 30-Year Cardiovascular Disease Risk Using Cluster Analysis with Internal Validation - PubMed, https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30554296/
22. Optimistic bias and food - Cambridge University Press & Assessment, https://www.cambridge.org/core/services/aop-cambridge-core/content/view/D364CB7CE11F5AE1611509B21D037BF9/S0954422403000027a.pdf/optimistic_bias_and_food.pdf
23. Taking Stock of Unrealistic Optimism - PMC - NIH, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC4451212/
24. Optimistic bias: Concept analysis - RCPHN : Research in Community and Public Health Nursing, https://rcphn.org/journal/view.php?number=1413
25. Biases in Cardiovascular Risk Perception: Psychological and Demographic Correlates: A Cross-Sectional Study in Hungary - PMC, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC13150092/
26. Optimistic Bias in Physical Activity: When Exercise Flows into Addiction - Brieflands, https://brieflands.com/journals/ijhrba/articles/67697
27. Perception of cardiovascular risk and comparison with actual cardiovascular risk - PubMed, https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19587602/
28. Perception and Beliefs Regarding Cardiovascular Risk Factors and Lifestyle

Modifications Among High-Risk College Students - PubMed, https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31918566/

29. Cardiovascular disease risk factors among undergraduate medical students in a tertiary care centre of eastern India: a pilot study - PMC, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC8547573/
30. (PDF) Cardiovascular disease knowledge, risk perception, and lifestyle behaviors among university students in North-Central Nigeria: a cross-sectional study - ResearchGate, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/399153681_Cardiovascular_disease_knowledge_risk_perception_and_lifestyle_behaviors_among_university_students_in_North-Central_Nigeria_a_cross-sectional_study
31. Coronary Heart Disease Risk Factors in Indian College Students - ResearchGate, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/343222344_Coronary_Heart_Disease_Risk_Factors_in_Indian_College_Students
32. Heart health risk factors in Punjabi early teens - CHRISMED Journal of Health and Research, https://journals.lww.com/chri/fulltext/2014/01010/heart_health_risk_factors_in_punjabi_early_teens.8.aspx
33. Cardiovascular risk behavior among students of a Medical College in Delhi - ResearchGate, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/51232800_Cardiovascular_risk_behavior_among_students_of_a_Medical_College_in_Delhi
34. Cardiovascular Risk Behavior among Students of a Medical College in Delhi - PMC, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC3104709/
35. Prevalence of cardiovascular health risk behaviors in college-going women in a major metropolis in India - PMC, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC7442570/
36. Do behavioural risks cluster among college students in Chandigarh, India? Novel insights from a latent class analysis - PMC, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC12758675/
37. Do behavioural risks cluster among college students in Chandigarh, India? Novel insights from a latent class analysis | PLOS One - Research journals, https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0340072
38. TUM4Health, a holistic student health promotion program. Screening of cardiovascular risk factors in university students - Frontiers, https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/cardiovascular-

medicine/articles/10.3389/fcvm.2024.1428457/full

39. A Data-Driven University Wellness Program for Cardiovascular Disease Prevention Using Machine Learning-Based Health Risk Classif - RSIS International, https://rsisinternational.org/journals/ijrsi/uploads/vol13-iss6-pg2011-2021-202606_pdf.pdf
40. A Data-Driven University Wellness Program for Cardiovascular Disease Prevention Using Machine Learning-Based Health Risk Classification - ResearchGate, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/408214712_A_Data-Driven_University_Wellness_Program_for_Cardiovascular_Disease_Prevention_Using_Machine_Learning-Based_Health_Risk_Classification
41. A Data-Driven University Wellness Program for Cardiovascular Disease Prevention Using Machine Learning-Based Health Risk Classification - RSIS International, https://rsisinternational.org/journals/ijrsi/view/a-data-driven-university-wellness-program-for-cardiovascular-disease-prevention-using-machine-learning-based-health-risk-classification
42. Early Cardiovascular Health Outreach SMS (ECHOS): A Preventive He - Prime Scholars, https://www.primescholars.com/articles/early-cardiovascular-health-outreach-sms-echos-a-preventive-health-text-messaging-program-pilot-study-96442.html
43. Community Outreach and Cardiovascular Health (COACH) Trial | Circulation - American Heart Association Journals, https://www.ahajournals.org/doi/10.1161/circoutcomes.111.961573
44. Community Outreach and Cardiovascular Health (COACH) Trial - Ovid, https://www.ovid.com/journals/cicq/fulltext/10.1161/circoutcomes.111.961573~community-outreach-and-cardiovascular-health-coach-trial-a
45. Effect of student-led health interventions on patient outcomes for those with cardiovascular disease or cardiovascular disease risk factors: a systematic review - PMC, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC7353670/
46. University of Leicester rolls out £5m programme to combat cardiovascular burden among older adults in India | News, https://le.ac.uk/news/2026/march/university-leicester-programme-cardiovascular-older-adults-india
47. UoL launches £5M program to deal with cardiovascular burden in India - Medical Buyer, https://medicalbuyer.co.in/uol-launches-5m-program-to-deal-with-cardiovascular-burden-in-india/
48. Programme focussing on cardiometabolic risk factors in older Indians launched - The

Hindu, https://www.thehindu.com/news/cities/chennai/programme-focussing-on-cardiometabolic-risk-factors-in-older-indians-launched/article70754763.ece

49. CARDIO-India focuses on a community-first, technology-enabled model rather than traditional telemedicine - Express Healthcare, https://www.expresshealthcare.in/news/cardio-india-focuses-on-a-community-first-technology-enabled-model-rather-than-traditional-telemedicine/453226/
50. Wellness that Works | University of Rochester Medicine, https://www.urmc.rochester.edu/news/story/wellness-that-works
51. The Financial Impact of an Employee Wellness Program Focused on Cardiovascular Disease Risk Reduction - MDPI, https://www.mdpi.com/2227-9032/12/23/2358?