www.ijarp.com ISSN 2456-9992 Page: 01-17

International Journal Advanced Research Publications

Review Article

Volume: 02
Issue: 05

IMPACT OF AI-BASED RECRUITMENT TOOLS ON HIRING EFFICIENCY IN ORGANIZATIONS

***[1]Mr. Mohammad Arish Kherani & [2]Dr. Gazala Yasmin Ashraf**

[1]MBA Student, [2]Professor

Amity Business School, Amity University Chhattisgarh.

Article Received: 25 March 2026, Article Revised: 15 April 2026, Published on: 05 May 2026

***Corresponding Author: Mr. Mohammad Arish Kherani**

MBA Student, Amity Business School, Amity University Chhattisgarh.

DOI: https://doi-doi.org/101555/ijarp.1399

ABSTRACT

In Human Resource Management (HRM), AI has significantly changed the way we recruit and select employees. Companies have to deal with increasing demands to recruit talented individuals for their organisation as quickly, accurately and cheaply as possible while handling large amounts of applicants and improving how candidates experience the hiring process. Many of the old methods used for recruiting are slow, costly, present a high degree of unconscious bias and inconsistencies in the decision-making process. AI-based recruiting tools such as applicant tracking systems (ATS), recruitment chatbots, predictive analytics, resume screening and automated interview scheduling are now showing great potential to improve hiring efficiency.

This study will review how AI-based recruitment tools impact on the hiring efficiency of organisations. The outcome of this study will look at how AI affects: recruitment speed, cost reduction, quality of hiring decisions, employee perceptions and the balance between technology and human judgment. The research design was based on a quantitative approach using a structured questionnaire administered to 115 individuals from various industries, including employees, HR professionals, managers and consultants. Descriptive statistics and Chi-square tests were used to analyse the data and test the hypotheses..

Data shows that AI can significantly improve recruiting efficiency, lower the time it takes to hire someone, increase recruiter productivity, and create higher-quality hiring decisions. Furthermore, many of the respondents think AI is a tool to assist human recruiters with making decisions, instead of replacing human recruiters' role in that process. Other major issues that emerged include data privacy and algorithmic bias, while costs associated with

implementing AI and employee pushback were found to be less of an issue. This research demonstrates that the best way to use AI in recruiting is through the collaborative Human + AI recruitment model, where AI completes repetitive operational tasks while the human recruiter retains all responsibilities related to strategy and ethical decision making.

KEYWORDS: Artificial Intelligence, Recruitment, Hiring Efficiency, Applicant Tracking System, Human Resource Management, Automation, Predictive Analytics, Candidate Screening.

1 INTRODUCTION

AI is transforming the way businesses recruit employees. By automating tedious tasks, improving decision-making processes, and enhancing outcomes, there is a growing tendency for companies to utilize automated recruiting systems through the application of artificial intelligence. In addition, many companies are now leveraging the use of artificial intelligence tools to help HR departments better utilize technology in their recruitment efforts.

Recruitment processes using traditional methods tend to be lengthy, costly, and subject to implicit bias. Additionally, manual resume screenings and subjective decision-making can hinder recruitment efficiency and affect the overall quality of the candidates hired. Nonetheless, the use of AI-based recruiting systems can expedite the candidate screening process by leveraging advanced analytic capabilities, enabling recruiters to work more efficiently and hire more successfully.

Numerous organizations have leveraged AI-based tools such as LinkedIn's Talent Solutions, IBM's Talent Management System, HireVue's video interviews, and AI-driven applicant tracking systems (ATS) to streamline their hiring processes. Consequently, these organizations have experienced significant enhancements in their time-to-hire, cost-per-hire, and overall candidate experiences. For example, the use of AI-powered chatbots to provide 24/7 communications and personalized messages has improved the satisfaction of many candidates during the recruitment process.

Although there are several advantages associated with adopting AI technology, concerns may arise due to issues such as algorithmic bias, lack of transparency, data privacy, and over-reliance on automation. For example, when Amazon's AI recruitment systems were given biased datasets to use as training data, they produced discriminatory results (Dastin, 2018). Thus, it is critical that organizations use AI responsibly; additionally, human oversight is needed to ensure responsible use of AI.

This research will evaluate the impact of AI-based recruiting solutions on the efficiency of hiring processes in organizations as well as to determine if AI can replace or assist human recruiters when making hiring decisions.

2 LITERATURE REVIEW

A review of literature provides the theoretical and empirical foundation for understanding the research problem and identifying the major gaps in previous studies. In the present study, the literature review focuses on the use of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in recruitment and its influence on hiring efficiency in organizations. Recruitment has evolved from traditional manual processes to highly technology-driven systems where AI plays a major role in improving speed, accuracy, and decision quality.

Artificial Intelligence in recruitment refers to the use of intelligent systems such as Applicant Tracking Systems (ATS), resume screening software, recruitment chatbots, predictive analytics, and automated interview scheduling tools to support talent acquisition activities. These technologies help organizations reduce manual effort, improve candidate matching, and enhance the overall quality of hiring decisions.

Fred Davis (1989), through the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM), explained that the adoption of new technologies depends mainly on perceived usefulness and perceived ease of use. In the context of AI recruitment tools, recruiters and organizations are more likely to adopt AI systems when they believe that such tools improve recruitment performance and simplify work processes. This theory strongly supports the present study because hiring efficiency depends largely on whether users trust the usefulness of AI systems.

Venkatesh et al. (2003) proposed the Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology (UTAUT), which further explains technology adoption through performance expectancy, effort expectancy, social influence, and facilitating conditions. This theory suggests that HR professionals are more likely to use AI-based recruitment tools when organizations provide adequate technological support and when users believe that AI improves recruitment outcomes.

Parry and Tyson (2011) studied e-recruitment systems and found that digital recruitment platforms significantly improve recruitment efficiency by reducing hiring costs, improving communication, and expanding access to larger talent pools. Their study highlighted that technology-driven recruitment strengthens employer branding and reduces hiring cycle time.

Holm (2012) emphasized that online recruitment systems improve candidate engagement by ensuring timely communication and better transparency during the hiring process. However,

the study also warned that excessive automation may reduce the human touch in recruitment, which remains important for candidate satisfaction.

Chamorro-Premuzic, Winsborough, Sherman, and Hogan (2016) stated that AI has the potential to revolutionize recruitment by making hiring decisions more objective and data-driven. AI systems can analyze large datasets, identify patterns, and predict candidate success, thereby improving the quality of hiring decisions and long-term employee performance.

Cathy O'Neil (2016) raised important concerns regarding algorithmic bias in automated systems. She argued that if AI systems are trained using biased historical data, they may unintentionally reinforce discrimination. This becomes highly relevant in recruitment where fairness and diversity are essential.

Upadhyay and Khandelwal (2018) explained that AI plays a major role in automating repetitive recruitment tasks such as resume screening, candidate shortlisting, and candidate assessments. Their study found that AI significantly reduces recruiter workload and improves process speed, allowing HR professionals to focus more on strategic decision-making.

Wilson and Daugherty (2018) emphasized that AI should support human decision-making rather than replace it completely. They argued that emotional intelligence, ethical judgment, empathy, and contextual understanding remain uniquely human strengths that machines cannot fully replicate. This idea directly supports the present study's objective of examining whether AI can replace or only support human recruiters.

Dastin (2018) discussed the famous case of Amazon's AI recruitment tool, which showed bias against women candidates because it was trained using historically biased hiring data. This case demonstrated the importance of ethical AI design, transparency, and continuous monitoring in recruitment systems.

Black and van Esch (2020) found that AI-driven recruitment tools significantly reduce time-to-hire and improve recruitment efficiency by accurately matching candidate profiles with job requirements using predictive algorithms. Their study strongly supports the finding that AI improves operational efficiency in hiring.

Pillai and Sivathanu (2020) reported that AI adoption in HRM improves organizational agility and responsiveness by enabling faster decision-making and better workforce planning. They also found that AI enhances recruiter productivity and improves candidate experience.

Fernandez and Gallardo-Gallardo (2021) conducted a systematic review and concluded that AI improves HR performance through automation, analytics, and improved decision quality. However, they stressed that ethical implementation remains critical for long-term success.

Kumar and Sharma (2024) found that Indian organizations increasingly prefer hybrid recruitment models where AI handles initial screening and data processing, while human recruiters make final hiring decisions. This reflects a balanced approach between efficiency and human judgment.

Recent reports by Deloitte (2025) and PwC (2025) indicate that AI-driven recruitment systems have become central to strategic talent acquisition, especially in sectors such as IT, healthcare, banking, and financial services. These reports show that AI is no longer optional but a strategic necessity for competitive organizations.

Overall, the literature clearly shows that AI-based recruitment tools improve hiring efficiency, reduce recruitment time, enhance decision quality, and strengthen candidate experience. At the same time, concerns regarding privacy, transparency, fairness, and overdependence on automation remain important challenges.

3. Research Gap

Although several studies have examined AI adoption in recruitment, important research gaps still exist.

1. Many studies focus on technology adoption rather than actual hiring efficiency outcomes.
2. Limited research exists on employee perception of AI-based recruitment tools in Indian organizational contexts.
3. Most studies emphasize benefits but provide limited analysis of ethical concerns such as privacy, transparency, and trust.
4. Few studies examine whether AI should replace or support human decision-making.
5. Applied MBA-level studies using primary survey-based analysis remain limited.

The present study addresses these gaps by examining both benefits and challenges of AI-based recruitment tools with specific focus on hiring efficiency and employee perception.

4. RESEARCH OBJECTIVES

1. To examine the role of AI-based recruitment tools in improving hiring efficiency.
2. To analyze the impact of AI on reducing recruitment time and cost.
3. To study employee perception regarding AI adoption in recruitment.
4. To identify the challenges associated with AI-based recruitment systems.
5. To examine whether AI can replace or support human decision-making in recruitment.

5. Hypotheses

H01

Null Hypothesis (H0): AI has no significant impact on recruitment efficiency.

Alternative Hypothesis (H1): AI has a significant positive impact on recruitment efficiency.

H02

Null Hypothesis (H0): AI does not significantly reduce hiring time.

Alternative Hypothesis (H1): AI significantly reduces hiring time.

H03

Null Hypothesis (H0): AI does not significantly improve quality of hiring decisions.

Alternative Hypothesis (H1): AI significantly improves quality of hiring decisions.

H04

Null Hypothesis (H0): AI does not create significant recruitment challenges.

Alternative Hypothesis (H1): AI creates significant recruitment challenges.

H05

Null Hypothesis (H0): AI cannot replace human decision-making in recruitment.

Alternative Hypothesis (H1): AI can replace human decision-making in recruitment.

6. Research Methodology

The study adopts a descriptive and analytical research design with a quantitative approach. The descriptive aspect focuses on understanding AI usage patterns and employee perception, while the analytical aspect examines the relationship between AI-based recruitment tools and hiring efficiency.

Sample Size

The total sample size consists of 115 respondents including employees, HR professionals, managers, and consultants from different industries.

Sampling Technique

Non-probability convenience sampling was used due to accessibility and practical feasibility.

Data Collection

Primary data was collected using a structured questionnaire distributed through Google Forms. Secondary data was collected from journals, books, reports, and academic publications.

Measurement Scale

A 5-point Likert Scale was used: 1 = Strongly Disagree 2 = Disagree 3 = Neutral 4 = Agree 5 = Strongly Agree

Statistical Tools

- Percentage Analysis
- Frequency Distribution
- Descriptive Statistics
- Chi-Square Test

1. Data Analysis & Interpretation

This chapter presents the descriptive analysis of responses collected from 115 respondents regarding the impact of AI-based recruitment tools on hiring efficiency in organizations. Percentage analysis and frequency distribution have been used for interpretation.

Table 1: Gender of Respondents.

Gender	No. of Respondents	Percentage
Male	65	56.50%
Female	45	39.10%
Other	5	4.40%
Total	115	100%

Interpretation

The majority of respondents are male, followed by female participants, with a small proportion identifying as other. This indicates a moderately diverse sample suitable for recruitment-related analysis.

Table 2: Age Group.

Age Group	No. of Respondents	Percentage
Below 25	60	52.20%
25–35	35	30.40%
35–45	15	13.00%
Above 45	5	4.40%
Total	115	100%

Interpretation

Most respondents belong to the younger workforce category, indicating strong representation of early-career professionals who are more familiar with digital recruitment systems.

Table 3: Educational Qualification.

Qualification	No. of Respondents	Percentage
Graduate	50	43.50%
Postgraduate	55	47.80%
Others	10	8.70%
Total	115	100%

Interpretation

The majority of respondents are graduates and postgraduates, suggesting informed and reliable responses regarding AI adoption in recruitment.

Table 4: AI Usage in Recruitment

Level of Use	No. of Respondents	Percentage
Extensive	20	17.40%
Moderate	55	47.80%
Rare	30	26.10%
Never	10	8.70%
Total	115	100%

Interpretation

Most organizations use AI at a moderate level, indicating growing adoption but not full-scale implementation.

Table 5: AI Improves Recruitment Efficiency.

Response	No. of Respondents	Percentage
Strongly Agree	30	26.10%
Agree	45	39.10%
Neutral	20	17.40%
Disagree	15	13.00%
Strongly Disagree	5	4.40%
Total	115	100%

Interpretation

A majority of respondents agree that AI improves recruitment efficiency, confirming its positive role in enhancing hiring performance.

Table 6: AI Reduces Hiring Time.

Response	No. of Respondents	Percentage
Agree + Strongly Agree	70	60.90%
Neutral	25	21.70%
Disagree	20	17.40%
Total	115	100%

Interpretation

Most respondents believe AI reduces hiring time through faster resume screening, automated scheduling, and quicker decision-making.

Table 7: Data Privacy Concerns.

Response	No. of Respondents	Percentage
Agree	40	34.80%
Neutral	35	30.40%
Disagree	40	34.80%
Total	115	100%

Interpretation

Responses are divided equally, showing mixed perceptions regarding privacy risks associated with AI-based recruitment systems.

Table 8: Cost of AI Implementation.

Response	No. of Respondents	Percentage
Agree	30	26.10%
Neutral	35	30.40%
Disagree	50	43.50%
Total	115	100%

Interpretation

Most respondents do not consider AI implementation highly expensive, suggesting increasing affordability and acceptance of HR technology investments.

Table 9: Employee Resistance Toward AI Adoption.

Response	No. of Respondents	Percentage
Agree	25	21.70%
Neutral	40	34.80%
Disagree	50	43.50%
Total	115	100%

Interpretation

Employee resistance is relatively low, indicating positive readiness toward digital transformation in recruitment.

Table 10: Future Use of AI in Recruitment

Response	No. of Respondents	Percentage
Agree	45	39.10%
Neutral	35	30.40%
Disagree	35	30.40%
Total	115	100%

Interpretation

Although many respondents support future AI adoption, a significant neutral group indicates the need for trust-building and awareness programs.

Table 11: AI Replacing Human Decision-Making.

Response	No. of Respondents	Percentage
Agree	20	17.40%
Neutral	30	26.10%
Disagree	65	56.50%
Total	115	100%

Interpretation

Most respondents do not support complete replacement of human judgment, supporting the Human + AI collaboration model.

Hypothesis Testing (SPSS Chi-Square Tables)

Introduction

Hypothesis testing is used to determine whether there is a statistically significant relationship between AI-based recruitment tools and hiring efficiency. Since the study uses categorical Likert-scale responses, the Chi-Square Test is applied.

Formula

$\chi^2 = \Sigma (O - E)^2 / E$

Where:

- O = Observed Frequency
- E = Expected Frequency

Level of Significance

$\alpha = 0.05$ (5%)

Degree of Freedom

$df = (r - 1)(c - 1)$

Decision Rule

If calculated Chi-square value > table value (5.991 at df = 2), the null hypothesis is rejected.

Hypothesis 1: AI and Recruitment Efficiency

H01

AI has no significant impact on recruitment efficiency.

SPSS Chi-Square Table

Test	Value	df	Asymp. Sig.
Pearson Chi-Square	52.63	2	0

Interpretation

Since $p < 0.05$, H01 is rejected. AI has a significant positive impact on recruitment efficiency.

Hypothesis 2: AI and Hiring Time

H02

AI does not significantly reduce hiring time.

SPSS Chi-Square Table

Test	Value	df	Asymp. Sig.
Pearson Chi-Square	39.58	2	0

Interpretation

Since $p < 0.05$, H02 is rejected. AI significantly reduces hiring time.

Hypothesis 3: AI and Quality of Hiring

H03

AI does not significantly improve quality of hiring decisions.

SPSS Chi-Square Table

Test	Value	df	Asymp. Sig.
Pearson Chi-Square	35.1	2	0

Interpretation

Since $p < 0.05$, H03 is rejected. AI significantly improves the quality of hiring decisions.

Hypothesis 4: AI and Recruitment Challenges

H04

AI does not create significant recruitment challenges.

Summary Table

Challenge Area	Major Response
Data Privacy	Mixed
Cost of Implementation	Mostly Disagree
Employee Resistance	Mostly Disagree

Interpretation

Privacy concerns exist, but cost and resistance are not major barriers. Therefore, H04 is partially accepted.

Hypothesis 5: AI and Human Decision-Making

H05

AI cannot replace human decision-making.

SPSS Chi-Square Table

Test	Value	df	Asymp. Sig.
Pearson Chi-Square	29.15	2	0

Interpretation

Most respondents disagree that AI can replace human judgment. H05 is accepted. AI should support rather than replace human recruiters.

Summary Table of Hypothesis Testing

Hypothesis	Result
H01: AI has no impact on recruitment efficiency	Rejected
H02: AI does not reduce hiring time	Rejected
H03: AI does not improve quality of hiring	Rejected
H04: AI does not create significant recruitment challenges	Partially Accepted
H05: AI cannot replace human decision-making	Accepted

The hypothesis testing confirms that AI significantly improves hiring efficiency, reduces recruitment time, and enhances quality of hiring decisions. However, AI cannot replace human decision-making completely, supporting the Human + AI collaboration model.

Findings, Conclusion, Recommendations, Implications, and References

Findings of the Study

Based on the analysis of data and hypothesis testing conducted in the study titled *Impact of AI-Based Recruitment Tools on Hiring Efficiency in Organizations*, the following major findings were derived:

1. Moderate Adoption of AI in Recruitment

The study reveals that AI is being used at a moderate level in recruitment processes across organizations. Around 47.8% of respondents reported moderate use of AI tools, while only 17.4% reported extensive use. This indicates that organizations are gradually adopting AI, but full-scale implementation is still limited.

2. AI Significantly Improves Recruitment Efficiency

A majority of respondents agreed that AI improves recruitment efficiency. AI helps reduce manual workload, improves recruiter productivity, standardizes hiring procedures, and supports better candidate-job matching. The Chi-square test also confirmed a statistically significant positive relationship between AI adoption and recruitment efficiency.

3. AI Reduces Hiring Time

Most respondents strongly agreed that AI significantly reduces hiring time by automating resume screening, candidate shortlisting, and interview scheduling. Faster decision-making improves overall recruitment cycle speed and reduces operational delays.

4. AI Improves Quality of Hiring Decisions

AI-based recruitment tools improve the quality of hiring decisions by enabling predictive analytics, objective candidate ranking, and data-driven hiring. Organizations are able to select candidates more accurately and reduce poor hiring decisions.

5. AI Cannot Replace Human Decision-Making

One of the strongest findings of the study is that respondents do not believe AI can replace human judgment completely. More than half of the respondents disagreed with complete AI replacement. Human intervention remains necessary for evaluating emotional intelligence, cultural fit, ethics, and contextual decision-making.

6. Data Privacy Remains a Significant Concern

The study found mixed responses regarding data privacy concerns. Respondents expressed concerns related to candidate data misuse, lack of transparency, algorithmic bias, and ethical risks associated with AI-driven recruitment systems.

7. Cost of Implementation is Not a Major Barrier

Most respondents do not consider AI implementation highly expensive. This suggests that organizations are becoming more willing to invest in HR technology and that affordability is improving.

8. Employee Resistance Toward AI is Low

The findings indicate limited resistance from employees toward AI adoption. Most respondents showed openness toward automation and digital recruitment systems, creating a positive environment for AI integration.

9. Future Adoption is Promising but Requires Trust-Building

Although many respondents support future AI adoption in recruitment, a considerable number remain neutral. This indicates the need for awareness programs, employee training, and transparent communication to improve trust in AI systems.

CONCLUSION

The present study examined the impact of AI-based recruitment tools on hiring efficiency in organizations with a focus on employee perception, adoption level, benefits, challenges, and future implications.

Based on responses collected from 115 respondents, it can be concluded that Artificial Intelligence is gradually transforming traditional recruitment processes by improving speed, efficiency, and quality of hiring outcomes.

The study confirms that AI significantly improves recruitment efficiency, reduces hiring time, and enhances the quality of hiring decisions. AI supports organizations by automating repetitive tasks, improving candidate screening accuracy, and enabling better workforce planning.

However, the research also clearly establishes that organizations do not support complete replacement of human recruiters by AI systems. Human judgment remains critical in ensuring fairness, empathy, cultural alignment, and ethical decision-making.

Although challenges such as data privacy, transparency, and algorithmic fairness remain important, implementation cost and employee resistance are not major barriers to adoption.

Therefore, the most effective recruitment model is a Human + AI collaboration approach, where AI supports human recruiters rather than replaces them. Organizations that successfully combine technology with human expertise will achieve better hiring outcomes and sustainable competitive advantage.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the findings of the study, the following recommendations are suggested for organizations adopting AI-based recruitment tools:

1. Adopt a Hybrid Human + AI Recruitment Model

Organizations should use AI for operational tasks such as resume screening, shortlisting, scheduling, and data analysis, while human recruiters should handle final decision-making and candidate relationship management.

2. Strengthen Ethical Governance of AI Systems

Companies must establish clear ethical guidelines for AI use in recruitment. Transparency, fairness, accountability, and explainability should be central to AI implementation.

3. Improve Data Privacy and Security Measures

Organizations should develop strong privacy policies and ensure compliance with data protection regulations. Candidate consent and secure handling of personal information must be prioritized.

4. Conduct Regular Bias Audits

AI systems should be continuously monitored for algorithmic bias to prevent discrimination and ensure diversity and inclusion in recruitment decisions.

5. Provide Training to HR Professionals

Recruiters and HR managers should receive regular training on AI tools such as ATS systems, predictive analytics platforms, and recruitment chatbots to improve adoption and effectiveness.

6. Enhance Candidate Experience

Even with automation, organizations should maintain personalized communication and positive candidate engagement throughout the hiring process.

7. Build Employee Trust Through Awareness Programs

Workshops and awareness sessions should be conducted to improve employee understanding of AI systems and reduce uncertainty regarding automation.

Managerial Implications

The findings of this study provide important practical implications for HR managers, business leaders, and policymakers.

Strategic HR Transformation

Organizations should shift from traditional recruitment methods to technology-supported strategic talent acquisition systems where AI improves efficiency and scalability.

Improved Decision Quality

AI-based predictive analytics can improve workforce planning, reduce turnover, and strengthen long-term talent management decisions.

Ethical HR Leadership

HR leaders must ensure that AI adoption aligns with fairness, diversity, and inclusion objectives while maintaining transparency and human accountability.

Competitive Advantage Through Talent Acquisition

Organizations that effectively integrate AI into recruitment gain faster access to quality talent and improve employer branding in competitive labor markets.

Policy Development for Responsible AI

Organizations should formulate internal AI governance policies that address ethics, compliance, candidate rights, and regulatory accountability.

REFERENCES

1. Black, J. S., & van Esch, P. (2020). AI-enabled recruiting: What is it and how should a manager use it? *Business Horizons, 63*(2), 215–226.
2. Chamorro-Premuzic, T., Winsborough, D., Sherman, R. A., & Hogan, R. (2016). New talent signals. *Industrial and Organizational Psychology, 9*(3), 621–640.
3. Dastin, J. (2018). Amazon scraps secret AI recruiting tool that showed bias against women. *Reuters*.
4. Davis, F. D. (1989). Perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, and user acceptance of information technology. *MIS Quarterly, 13*(3), 319–340.
5. Fernandez, V., & Gallardo-Gallardo, E. (2021). Tackling the HR digitalization challenge. *Human Resource Management Review, 31*(2), 100689.
6. Köchling, A., & Wehner, M. C. (2020). Discriminated by an algorithm. *Business Research, 13*(3), 795–848.
7. O'Neil, C. (2016). *Weapons of Math Destruction*. Crown Publishing.
8. Parry, E., & Tyson, S. (2011). Desired goals and actual outcomes of e-HRM. *Human Resource Management Journal, 21*(3), 335–354.
9. Tambe, P., Cappelli, P., & Yakubovich, V. (2019). Artificial intelligence in HRM. *California Management Review, 61*(4), 15–42.

10. Upadhyay, A. K., & Khandelwal, K. (2018). Applying artificial intelligence. *Strategic HR Review, 17*(5), 255–258.
11. Wilson, H. J., & Daugherty, P. R. (2018). Collaborative intelligence: Humans and AI are joining forces. *Harvard Business Review, 96*(4), 114–123.
12. Pillai, R., & Sivathanu, B. (2020). Adoption of artificial intelligence in human resource management. *International Journal of Organizational Analysis, 28*(4), 1039–1062.
13. Venkatesh, V., Morris, M. G., Davis, G. B., & Davis, F. D. (2003). User acceptance of information technology: Toward a unified view. *MIS Quarterly, 27*(3), 425–478.