www.ijarp.com ISSN 2456-9992 Page: 01-30

International Journal Advanced Research Publications

Short Communication

Volume: 02
Issue: 02

RANDOM THOUGHTS ON SOME APPROACHES IN ANALYZING ROBOTICS AND EYE TRACKING

[*1]Ms. M Pragna Sridhar, [2]Dr S Sandhya, [3]Col Prof Dr J Satpathy

[1]Graduate Candidate, Electronics and Communications, NITTE School of Engineering, India.

[2]PDF Researcher, PPISR, Bengaluru.

[3]PDF Researcher, PPISR, Bengaluru.

Article Received: 10 January 2026, Article Revised: 30 January 2026, Published on: 18 February 2026

***Corresponding Author: Ms. M Pragna Sridhar**

Graduate Candidate, Electronics and Communications, NITTE School of Engineering, India.

DOI: https://doi-doi.org/101555/ijarp.6620

ABSTRACT

The intersection of robotics and eye tracking technology offers exciting potential for enhancing Robot - Human interaction, augmenting various fields. Understanding intricacies of both robotics and eye tracking involves delving into concepts like perception, control, and machine learning. Eye tracking technology captures and analyzes human gaze, providing insights into attention and focus, which can be crucial for designing robots that respond intuitively to human behavior. By integrating these technologies, we can improve accessibility, navigation, and interaction with robots. Questions arise concerning principled implications, data privacy and extent to which robots should be equipped with such capabilities. As these technologies progress, considerations regarding their integration into everyday environments becomes pivotal to ensure they align with human needs and moral codes. The challenges and opportunities provided by eye tracking and robotics call for interdisciplinary research and collaboration among technologists, ethicists, and social scientists to address multifaceted challenges they present.

KEYWORDS: Eye Tracking, Gaze Direction, Fixation, Saccades and Robotics.

"Humans exhibit a remarkable capacity for flexible thought and action. Despite changing internal needs and external context, individuals maintain stable goals and pursue purposeful action. Functional neuroimaging research examining the neural underpinnings of such behavioral flexibility has progressed within several distinct traditions, as evident in the

largely separate literatures on "cognitive control" and on "decision making." Both topics investigate the formulation of desires and intentions, the integration of knowledge and context, and the resolution of conflict and uncertainty. Additionally, each recognizes the fundamental role of the prefrontal cortex in supporting flexible selection of behavior. But despite this notable overlap, neuroimaging studies in cognitive control and decision making have exerted only limited influence on each other, in part due to differences in their theoretical and experimental groundings. Additionally, the precise organization of control processing within prefrontal cortex has remained unclear, fostering an acceptance of vague descriptions of decision making in terms of canonical cognitive control functions such as "inhibition" or "self-control." We suggest that a potential unifying role for models of the hierarchical organization of action selection within prefrontal cortex. These models provide an important conceptual link between decision-making phenomena and cognitive-control processes, potentially facilitating cross-fertilization between these topics"

….. By Christopher G. Coutlee and Scott A. Huettel

INTRODUCTION:

Interplay between technology and human emotions is vital for advancement of Robot - Human interaction. This research primarily investigates intersection between robots and human emotions. It's observed that existing research on emotion recognition is limited to controlled environments, focusing on emotion classification through facial expressions, body posture, and speech signals. Aim is to enhance robots' understanding of human emotional cues and enable to express emotions. Significance fosters connections and enables adaptability to interpret emotions through means viz. body language and vocal intonations. This research applies sensor data to equip robots with ability to recognize and interpret human emotions. Efforts have been to teach robots for exhibiting non-verbal cues that humans readily recognize. Paper reflects upon emotion recognition within robotics to develop interactions for applications in psychoanalysis, companionship etc. Paper incorporates methodologies, moving from simplified lab environments to real-world applications utilizing advanced machine learning techniques. Adopted models for interpreting human expressions in robotic behavior like vocal tone modulation and soft robotics are highlighted. Research attempt notes significant impact of emotional expression in robots perceptions and interactions addressing realistic consequences of emotion recognition in robotics. Paper asserts that robots capable of understanding and expressing emotions have potential to

enhance user experiences in multiple areas addressing complexity of human emotions in varied contexts and environments. Integration of technologies like EEG for emotional assessment illustrates commitment to making interactions personalized and empathetic. In conclusion, paper provides expansive view of current landscape in Robot - Human Interaction research, particularly concerning essential role of emotions. Significant evolution of methodologies incorporating machine learning and sensor technology, denote sophistication of pivotal roles in various applications, marking a shift in how humans relate to technology. This sheds light on how advancements in understanding and expressing emotions can revolutionize Robot - Human Interaction.

The paper posits by outlining transformative effects of combining eye-tracking technology and robotics in various domains. It emphasizes enriched capabilities of robots to understand human attention and behaviors through eye movements, which significantly enhance user experience and functionality. This describes how eye tracking operates, discussing essential elements such as gaze direction, fixation, and saccades. It explains how robots use these insights to process human intentions, enabling them to serve effectively in medical or assistance roles, thereby fostering more natural interactions. Principled concerns surrounding eye tracking in robots take center stage. Issues such as surveillance, consent, and data privacy are explored, highlighting importance of establishing frameworks to regulate use of advanced technologies in sensitive settings. Paper discusses future directions for research and development in robotics and eye tracking. It suggests enhanced interdisciplinary collaboration to ensure technologies are developed responsibly, citing advancements in artificial intelligence and machine learning as catalysts for further innovation.

Aim: Paper aims to detect transformative impact of integrating eye-tracking technology with robotics across various fields. It highlights how combining these two domains enable robots to better understand human attention and behavior through analysis of eye movements, including gaze direction, fixation, and saccades. This has potential to significantly enhance user experience by allowing robots to effectively interpret human intentions and thereby interact naturally with users. However, paper considers important principled considerations, noting concerns about surveillance, consent and data privacy when incorporating eye tracking into robotic systems. It underlines necessity of establishing frameworks to govern use of these technologies in sensitive environments, emphasizing responsibility that must accompany such advancements. Paper aims to outlining future directions for research advocating for enhanced interdisciplinary collaboration that leverages advancements in artificial intelligence and machine learning to drive innovative solutions responsibly.

Methodology: Methodology being proposed is combination of robotics and eye tracking opens up possibilities for intuitive Robot - Human interactions. Methodology incorporates understanding gaze direction and movements' enables better prediction of user intent. Methodology encourages interdisciplinary collaboration for responsible innovation in robotics and eye tracking.

Eye Tracking in Robotics: Eye tracking technology has emerged as an essential tool in the field of robotics, enabling machines to interact more naturally with humans. Paper discusses importance of eye tracking in both social robotics and Robot - Human interaction (HRI), emphasizing how it can enhance understanding of human emotions, intentions, and preferences. By incorporating visual attention metrics, robots can forecast what a human might do or need next, allowing for more intuitive and personalized interactions. Paper explores various methods of eye tracking, including hardware-based approaches using specialized cameras and software solutions that analyze facial landmarks. Additionally, it reflects on technical challenges faced when integrating eye tracking systems into robotic platforms. These hurdles include dealing with variations in lighting, ensuring accurate gaze estimation and synchronizing eye tracking data with robotic actions. Moreover, paper advocates recent advancements in eye tracking algorithms powered by machine learning, which have significantly improved gaze estimation accuracy and speed. Ability of robots to recognize and respond to human gaze can drastically develop applications in collaborative work environments. Ultimately, the paper concludes that while the integration of eye tracking technology poses certain technical challenges, its potential benefits in enhancing Robot - Human interaction are substantial and merit further research and investment.

Paper establishes connection between eye tracking technology and robotics, highlighting its significance in facilitating seamless Robot - Human interactions. As robots become integrated into everyday human activities, understanding human gaze is crucial for improved communication. Paper dives into importance of eye tracking in discerning human emotions and intentions. It explains how robots capable of understanding where humans are looking can better anticipate their needs and responses, creating a more engaging and interactive experience. Paper outlines different methods for eye tracking, categorizing them into hardware and software solutions. Hardware includes specialized eye-tracking cameras, while software solutions harness computer vision techniques for gaze estimation without additional hardware. Issues like lighting variability, gaze estimation accuracy, and the need for real-time synchronization are highlighted as significant hurdles. Paper examines recent developments in machine learning technologies that have improved gaze estimation systems. Better

algorithms are enabling more accurate and faster responses from robots, marking a significant step forward in eye tracking capabilities. Paper outlines potential applications of eye tracking to understand human gaze helps robots adapt to human needs effectively.

Multi-Point of View Analysis: From technical standpoint, convergence of eye tracking and robotics represents significant leap in capabilities of machines. Ability to read eye movements allows robots to adopt user-centered approach, making them effective in understanding and anticipating needs. This is crucial in fields where responsiveness significantly improves user outcomes. Synergy between robotics and eye tracking enhances operational efficiency of robots by allowing them to understand and interpret human visual cues. This understanding facilitates proactive interaction in environments where robots assist with monitoring by responding to where a person is looking. Technological advancements in sensors and machine learning algorithms further bolster this capability, leading to accurate interpretations of human intentions and emotions.

From principled perspective, integration of eye tracking in robotics raises significant principled concerns, particularly around privacy and consent. As robots become commonplace, safeguarding personal data becomes paramount. Transparency in how this data is utilized and establishing protocols for consent is vital in addressing apprehensions regarding surveillance and moral implications. Emphasis on principled implications showcases growing awareness of potential misuse of technologies in society. By framing discussion around surveillance and data privacy, paper brings to light principled responsibilities in safeguarding user rights. Advocacy for frameworks indicates proactive approach to prevent potential misuse and regulate deployment of such technologies. The call for interdisciplinary collaboration suggests recognition that advancing these technologies requires insights and expertise from varied fields. For instance, insights from Psychology can enhance design of user-friendly interfaces, while experts can contribute to forming sound privacy regulations. Such collaboration is pivotal in both fostering innovation and ensuring technologies are developed with diverse perspectives in mind.

Future Implications Perspective: Future implications of this technology extend beyond immediate applications in healthcare. As robotics and artificial intelligence continue to evolve, the integration of eye tracking could revolutionize industries such as education, customer service, and entertainment, suggesting an expansive landscape where user-robot interaction is more personalized and intuitive.

DISCUSSION: Paper lays foundational understanding of interrelationship between robotics and eye tracking. It brings attention to critical need for principled guidelines as these

technologies converge. Evaluated innovations in AI and machine learning provide promising horizon, yet they call upon developers to approach this path responsibly. Potential application scenarios present transformative way to enhance user experience, aligning technology with human behavior. A significant insight is acknowledgment of user privacy, which needs to match technological advancement pace; hence, it's vital for developers to prioritize principled considerations from inception of these technologies.

Convergence of eye tracking with robotics presents transformative potential that could redefine interactive capabilities between humans and machines. As outlined, implications of this integration are profound, particularly in enhancing user experience and improving functionalities. Technical advancements mentioned are not only relevant for applications in healthcare but potentially facilitate significant upgrades. Moreover, focus on principled responsibilities cannot be overstated; consequences of data misuse in tracking human attention require stringent regulatory measures. Without proper oversight, this technology could lead to breaches of privacy and principled violations that undermine user trust.

Advancing these technologies responsibly hinges on interdisciplinary collaboration. Engaging experts from Psychology, Ethics, Computer Science and Law enriches development process and ensures that multiple facets of implementation are considered. The future of robotics should not only be about technological advancement but about creating socially responsible frameworks that prioritize human dignity and privacy. Therefore, integration of eye-tracking technology in robotics should be pursued with caution, balancing innovation with principled considerations to foster a safe and effective user interaction landscape.

Technical Perspectives: What is eye tracking? Eye tracking is a technology used to measure and analyze where a person is looking, allowing for deeper insights into visual attention and focus. This technology measures eye positions and movements to determine where a person is looking and the focus of their attention. How do robots benefit from eye tracking technology? Robots can utilize eye tracking to discern user intentions and dynamically respond to human behavior, improving interaction quality in various settings. What are the applications of eye-tracking technology in robotics? Eye-tracking technology can enhance user interaction in robotics by allowing machines to better understand human behaviors and intentions, which is particularly valuable in fields such as healthcare and assistive technologies. What principled concerns are associated with eye tracking in robotics? Principled concerns include issues of surveillance, consent, and data privacy. There is a need for legal frameworks to govern the use of these technologies to protect user rights and

maintain trust. How can interdisciplinary collaboration benefit the integration of eye tracking and robotics?

Interdisciplinary collaboration can bring together insights from different fields to address the complex challenges associated with technological integration, ensuring that developments are user-centered and principled sound. What role do advancements in AI and machine learning play in this field? Advancements in AI and machine learning serve as catalysts for innovation, enabling more sophisticated interpretation of eye-tracking data, which can enhance robotic functionality and user experience. What are some applications of robotics and eye tracking? Applications include healthcare support robots, security systems that monitor attention, and enhanced user interfaces in gaming or interactive media. What principled concerns are associated with eye tracking in robotics? Concerns include privacy invasions, consent regarding data collection, and potential misuse of the technology for surveillance purposes.

The integration of eye tracking allows robots to better interpret human attention and intentions, enhancing user experience. Key elements of eye tracking such as gaze direction, fixation, and saccades are essential for enabling robots to process human behaviors effectively. Issues related to surveillance, consent, and data privacy stress the need for rigorous ethical considerations and legislative frameworks for responsible technology development. Paper emphasizes the necessity of collaboration between different fields to harness the full potential of eye-tracking technologies within robotics. Advancements in artificial intelligence and machine learning are outlined as key drivers for future innovations in the integration of eye tracking with robotics.

Paper introduces topic of integration of eye-tracking technology with robotics, emphasizing its potential to transform interactions between humans and machines. Synergistic effects of eye tracking are elaborated upon, presenting technology as a bridge for robots to better understand human engagement and behavior. Key operational elements of eye tracking are explored, including mechanics behind gaze direction, fixation, and saccades. Paper incorporates how these aspects of eye movement provide crucial insights into human intention, thereby empowering robots to assist users more effectively in various contexts, particularly in healthcare. Ethical issues associated with use of eye tracking in robotics take center stage in this discussion. Paper identifies major concerns such as surveillance implications, necessity of obtaining consent, and matters related to data privacy. Strategies

for developing frameworks to address these ethical challenges are urged. Future research and development directions are then discussed, pointing out need for interdisciplinary collaboration to advance the responsible use of eye tracking in robotics.

Future Outlook: Future of eye tracking in robotics is promising, as emerging technologies continue to refine this domain. Enhancement of gaze estimation algorithms through machine learning is particularly noteworthy, offering significant potential in creating more intuitive robots. As research progresses, it is likely that scholarship will witness emergence of robots that not only respond to verbal commands but also exhibit an understanding of non-verbal cues, leading to a higher level of interaction.

CONCLUSION: The integration of eye-tracking technology in robotics holds significant promise for the future of human-machine interactions. It has the potential to vastly improve the responsiveness and intuitiveness of robotic systems in various sectors, most notably healthcare. However, with great potential comes great responsibility; the principled implications surrounding surveillance, privacy, and consent necessitate careful consideration and appropriate regulatory measures. Collaborative efforts across multiple disciplines are essential for promoting innovation while maintaining the highest standards of principled integrity. As the field progresses, balancing technological advancements with these considerations will be crucial for fostering trust and maximizing the societal benefits of this integration.

The convergence of robotics and eye tracking signifies a transformative shift in technology, making robots more perceptive and responsive to human interaction. As these technologies evolve, addressing the principled implications associated with data privacy and user consent becomes increasingly critical. Future research and collaboration across disciplines will help ensure that advancements in robotics are principled and beneficial. Ultimately, the potential of robotics and eye tracking can redefine how we interact with machines, placing human considerations at the forefront of technological innovation. In conclusion, eye tracking technology's incorporation into robotics opens up new possibilities for enhancing Robot - Human interactions. The ability of robots to discern human gaze and respond accordingly creates opportunities for more engaging, intuitive experiences in various fields. Despite the associated technical challenges, advancements have driven by machine learning and computer vision present promising solutions. By addressing ethical concerns and investing in

research, eye tracking can transform the landscape of robotics, making machines not only tools but companions that understand and empathize with human emotions and intentions.

REFERENCES

1. Al-Rahayfeh, A., and Faezipour, M. (2013). Eye tracking and head movement detection: a state-of-art survey. IEEE J. Transl. Eng. health Med. 1, 2100212. doi:10.1109/JTEHM.2013.2289879
2. Alsharif, S. (2017). Gaze-based control of robot arm in three-dimensional space. Bremen: Ph.D. thesis, University Bremen.
3. Alsharif, S., Kuzmicheva, O., and Gräser, A. (2016). Gaze gesture-based human robot interface. Conf. Tech. Unterstützungssysteme, Menschen wirklich wollen A. T. Helmut-Schmidt-Universität/Universität Bundeswehr Hambg., 339–348.
4. Aronson R. M., Admoni H. (2018 June 26-30). Gaze for error detection during human-robot shared manipulation. In Proceedings of RSS Workshop: Towards a Framework for Joint Action,Pittsburgh, PA, United States. Retrieved September 27, 2023 from http://packages.personalrobotics.ri.cmu.edu/assets/pubs/fja_rss2018_aronson.pdf
5. Aronson R. M., Santini T., Kübler T. C., Kasneci E., Srinivasa S., Admoni H. (2018). Eye-hand behavior in human-robot shared manipulation (p. 10).
6. Aronson, R. M., Almutlak, N., and Admoni, H. (2021). “Inferring goals with gaze during teleoperated manipulation,” in 2021 IEEE/RSJ international conference on intelligent robots and systems (IROS) (IEEE), 7307–7314. doi:10.1109/IROS51168.2021.9636551
7. Aronson, R. M., and Admoni, H. (2019). “Semantic gaze labeling for human-robot shared manipulation,” in Proceedings of the 11th ACM symposium on eye tracking research & applications. Editors K. Krejtz, and B. Sharif (New York, NY, United States: ACM), 1–9. doi:10.1145/3314111.3319840
8. Aronson, R. M., and Admoni, H. (2020). “Eye gaze for assistive manipulation,” in Companion of the 2020 ACM/IEEE international conference on human-robot interaction. Editors T. Belpaeme, J. Young, H. Gunes, and L. Riek (New York, NY, United States: ACM), 552–554. doi:10.1145/3371382.3377434
9. Aronson, R. M., Santini, T., Kübler, T. C., Kasneci, E., Srinivasa, S., and Admoni, H. (2018). “Eye-hand behavior in human-robot shared manipulation,” in Proceedings of the 2018 ACM/IEEE international conference on human-robot interaction. Editors T.

Kanda, S. Ŝabanović, G. Hoffman, and A. Tapus (New York, NY, United States: ACM), 4–13. doi:10.1145/3171221.3171287

10. Bannat, A., Gast, J., Rehrl, T., Rösel, W., Rigoll, G., and Wallhoff, F. (2009). "A multimodal human-robot-interaction scenario: working together with an industrial robot," in Human-computer interaction. Novel interaction methods and techniques. Editor J. A. Jacko (Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg), 5611, 302–311. doi:10.1007/978-3-642-02577-8_33
11. Becser N., Sand T., Zwart J.-A. (1998). Reliability of cephalic thermal thresholds in healthy subjects. Cephalalgia: An International Journal of Headache, 18(8), 574–582. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1468-2982.1998.1808574.x
12. Bednarik, R., Gowases, T., and Tukiainen, M. (2009). Gaze interaction enhances problem solving: effects of dwell-time based, gaze-augmented, and mouse interaction on problem-solving strategies and user experience. J. Eye Mov. Res. 3. doi:10.16910/jemr.3.1.3
13. Beltramello, A.; Scalera, L.; Seriani, S.; Gallina, P. Artistic Robotic Painting Using the Palette Knife Technique. Robotics 2020, 9, 15.
14. Bhattacharjee, T., Gordon, E. K., Scalise, R., Cabrera, M. E., Caspi, A., Cakmak, M., et al. (2020). "Is more autonomy always better?," in Proceedings of the 2020 ACM/IEEE international conference on human-robot interaction. Editors T. Belpaeme, J. Young, H. Gunes, and L. Riek (New York, NY, USA: ACM), 181–190. doi:10.1145/3319502.3374818
15. Biagiotti, L.; Melchiorri, C. Trajectory Planning for Automatic Machines and Robots; Springer: Berlin, Germany, 2008.
16. Bidgoli, A.; De Guevara, M.L.; Hsiung, C.; Oh, J.; Kang, E. Artistic Style in Robotic Painting; a Machine Learning Approach to Learning Brushstroke from Human Artists. In Proceedings of the 2020 29th IEEE International Conference on Robot and Human Interactive Communication (RO-MAN), Naples, Italy, 31 August–4 September 2020; pp. 412–418.
17. Bien, Z., Chung, M.-J., Chang, P.-H., Kwon, D.-S., Kim, D.-J., Han, J.-S., et al. (2004). Integration of a rehabilitation robotic system (kares ii) with human-friendly man-machine interaction units. Aut. Robots 16, 165–191. doi:10.1023/B:AURO.0000016864.12513.77
18. Bien, Z., Kim, D.-J., Chung, M.-J., Kwon, D.-S., and Chang, P.-H. (2003). "Development of a wheelchair-based rehabilitation robotic system (kares ii) with

various human-robot interaction interfaces for the disabled," in Proceedings 2003 IEEE/ASME international conference on advanced intelligent mechatronics (AIM 2003) (IEEE), 902–907. doi:10.1109/AIM.2003.1225462

19. Bommer S. C., Fendley M. (2018). A theoretical framework for evaluating mental workload resources in human systems design for manufacturing operations. International Journal of Industrial Ergonomics, 63, 7–17. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ergon.2016.10.007
20. Bonci, A., Cen Cheng, P. D., Indri, M., Nabissi, G., and Sibona, F. (2021). Human-robot perception in industrial environments: a survey. Sensors Basel, Switz. 21, 1571. doi:10.3390/s21051571
21. Bradley, J.P. The Delirious Abstract Machines of Jean Tinguely. In Ecosophical Aesthetics: Art, Ethics and Ecology with Guattari; Bloomsbury Publishing: London, UK, 2018; pp. 193–216.
22. Brooke, J. (1996). "Sus: a 'quick and dirty' usability scale," in Usability evaluation in industry. Editors P. W. Jordan, B. Thomas, I. L. McClelland, and B. Weerdmeester (London: CRC Press), 1–7.
23. Brown D. A., Lee T. D., Reinkensmeyer D. J., Duarte J. E. (2016). Designing robots that challenge to optimize motor learning. In Reinkensmeyer D. J., Dietz V. (Eds.), Neurorehabilitation technology, (pp. 39–58). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-28603-7_3
24. Byrnes J. P., Miller D. C., Schafer W. D. (1999). Gender differences in risk taking: A meta-analysis. Psychological Bulletin, 125(3), 367–383. https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.125.3.367
25. Callejas-Cuervo, M., González-Cely, A. X., and Bastos-Filho, T. (2020). Control systems and electronic instrumentation applied to autonomy in wheelchair mobility: the state of the art. Sensors Basel, Switz. 20, 6326. doi:10.3390/s20216326
26. Carpenter, R.H. Movements of the Eyes, 2nd ed.; Pion Limited: London, UK, 1988.
27. Catalán, J. M., Díez, J. A., Bertomeu-Motos, A., Badesa, F. J., and Garcia-Aracil, N. (2017). "Multimodal control architecture for assistive robotics,". Converging clinical and engineering research on neurorehabilitation II. Editors J. Ibáñez, J. González-Vargas, J. M. Azorín, M. Akay, and J. L. Pons (Springer International Publishing), 513–517. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-46669-9_85

28. Chadwell A., Kenney L., Thies S., Galpin A., Head J. (2016). The reality of myoelectric prostheses: Understanding what makes these devices difficult for some users to control. Frontiers in Neurorobotics, 10, 7. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnbot.2016.00007
29. Chaudhary, U., Vlachos, I., Zimmermann, J. B., Espinosa, A., Tonin, A., Jaramillo-Gonzalez, A., et al. (2022). Spelling interface using intracortical signals in a completely locked-in patient enabled via auditory neurofeedback training. Nat. Commun. 13, 1236. doi:10.1038/s41467-022-28859-8
30. Choi, S., and Kuchenbecker, K. J. (2013). Vibrotactile display: perception, technology, and applications. Proc. IEEE 101, 2093–2104. doi:10.1109/JPROC.2012.2221071
31. Chung, C.-S., Wang, H., and Cooper, R. A. (2013). Functional assessment and performance evaluation for assistive robotic manipulators: literature review. J. spinal cord Med. 36, 273–289. doi:10.1179/2045772313Y.0000000132
32. Cincotti, F., Mattia, D., Aloise, F., Bufalari, S., Schalk, G., Oriolo, G., et al. (2008). Non-invasive brain-computer interface system: towards its application as assistive technology. Brain Res. Bull. 75, 796–803. doi:10.1016/j.brainresbull.2008.01.007
33. Cio, Y.-S. L.-K., Raison, M., Leblond Menard, C., and Achiche, S. (2019). Proof of concept of an assistive robotic arm control using artificial stereovision and eye-tracking. IEEE Trans. neural Syst. rehabilitation Eng. a Publ. IEEE Eng. Med. Biol. Soc. 27, 2344–2352. doi:10.1109/TNSRE.2019.2950619
34. Clark, A., and Ahmad, I. (2021). “Interfacing with robots without the use of touch or speech,” in The 14th PErvasive technologies related to assistive environments conference (New York, NY, USA: ACM), 347–353. doi:10.1145/3453892.3461330
35. Clay V., König P., König S. U. (2019). Eye tracking in virtual reality. Journal of Eye Movement Research, 12(1). Article 1.https://doi.org/10.16910/jemr.12.1.3
36. Cognolato M., Atzori M., Müller H. (2018). Head-mounted eye gaze tracking devices: An overview of modern devices and recent advances. Journal of Rehabilitation and Assistive Technologies Engineering, 5, 2055668318773991. https://doi.org/10.1177/2055668318773991
37. Cohen J. (1988). Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences (2nd ed.). Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203771587
38. Cohen, H. The further exploits of AARON, painter. Stanf. Humanit. Rev. 1995, 4, 141–158.

39. Corcoran, P.M.; Nanu, F.; Petrescu, S.; Bigioi, P. Real-time eye gaze tracking for gaming design and consumer electronics systems. IEEE Trans. Consum. Electron. 2012, 58, 347–355.
40. Cornwall W. (2015). In pursuit of the perfect power suit. Science, 350(6258), 270–273. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.350.6258.270
41. Cowan, R. E., Fregly, B. J., Boninger, M. L., Chan, L., Rodgers, M. M., and Reinkensmeyer, D. J. (2012). Recent trends in assistive technology for mobility. J. neuroengineering rehabilitation 9, 20. doi:10.1186/1743-0003-9-20
42. Crea, S., Nann, M., Trigili, E., Cordella, F., Baldoni, A., Badesa, F. J., et al. (2018). Feasibility and safety of shared eeg/eog and vision-guided autonomous whole-arm exoskeleton control to perform activities of daily living. Sci. Rep. 8, 10823. doi:10.1038/s41598-018-29091-5
43. De Rivecourt M., Kuperus M. N., Post W. J., Mulder L. J. M. (2008). Cardiovascular and eye activity measures as indices for momentary changes in mental effort during simulated flight. Ergonomics, 51(9), 1295–1319. https://doi.org/10.1080/00140130802120267
44. Demers, L., Monette, M., Lapierre, Y., Arnold, D., and Wolfson, C. (2002). Reliability, validity, and applicability of the quebec user evaluation of satisfaction with assistive technology (quest 2.0) for adults with multiple sclerosis. Disabil. Rehabil. 24, 21–30. doi:10.1080/09638280110066352
45. Demers, L., Weiss-Lambrou, R., and Ska, B. (1996). Development of the quebec user evaluation of satisfaction with assistive technology (quest). Assistive Technol. official J. RESNA 8, 3–13. doi:10.1080/10400435.1996.10132268
46. Despinoy, F., Torres, L., Roberto, J., Vitrani, M.-A., and Herman, B. (2013). “Toward remote teleoperation with eye and hand: a first experimental study,” in 3rd joint workshop on new technologies for computer/robot assisted surgery (CRAS2013), 1–4.
47. Destatis (2022). Press release of 22 June 2022: 7.8 million severely disabled people living in Germany.
48. Di Maio, M., Dondi, P., Lombardi, L., and Porta, M. (2021). “Hybrid manual and gaze-based interaction with a robotic arm,” in 2021 26th IEEE international conference on emerging technologies and factory automation (ETFA) (IEEE), 1–4. doi:10.1109/ETFA45728.2021.9613371

49. dos Santos, R.D.O.J.; de Oliveira, J.H.C.; Rocha, J.B.; Giraldi, J.D.M.E. Eye tracking in neuromarketing: A research agenda for marketing studies. Int. J. Psychol. Stud. 2015, 7, 32.
50. Dragomir, A., Pana, C. F., Cojocaru, D., and Manga, L. F. (2021). "Human-machine interface for controlling a light robotic arm by persons with special needs," in 2021 22nd international carpathian control conference (ICCC) (IEEE), 1–6. doi:10.1109/ICCC51557.2021.9454664
51. Drewes, H., and Schmidt, A. (2007). "Interacting with the computer using gaze gestures," in Human-computer interaction - interact 2007 (Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer), 1–14.
52. Duguleana, M., and Mogan, G. (2010). "Using eye blinking for eog-based robot control," in Emerging trends in technological innovation: first IFIP WG 5.5SOCOLNET doctoral conference on computing, electrical and industrial systems, DoCEIS 2010, costa de caparica, Portugal, february 22-24, 2010 proceedings. Editors L. Camarinha-Matos, P. Pereira, and L. Ribeiro (Springer), 343–350.
53. Dünser, A., Lochner, M., Engelke, U., and Rozado Fernández, D. (2015). Visual and manual control for human-robot teleoperation. IEEE Comput. Graph. Appl. 35, 22–32. doi:10.1109/MCG.2015.4
54. Dziemian, S., Abbott, W. W., and Faisal, A. A. (2016). "Gaze-based teleprosthetic enables intuitive continuous control of complex robot arm use: writing & drawing," in 2016 6th IEEE international conference on biomedical robotics and biomechatronics (BioRob) (IEEE), 1277–1282. doi:10.1109/BIOROB.2016.7523807
55. Edughele, H. O., Zhang, Y., Muhammad-Sukki, F., Vien, Q.-T., Morris-Cafiero, H., and Opoku Agyeman, M. (2022). Eye-tracking assistive technologies for individuals with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. IEEE Access 10, 41952–41972. doi:10.1109/ACCESS.2022.3164075
56. Esposito, D., Centracchio, J., Andreozzi, E., Gargiulo, G. D., Naik, G. R., and Bifulco, P. (2021). Biosignal-based human-machine interfaces for assistance and rehabilitation: a survey. Sensors Basel, Switz. 21, 6863. doi:10.3390/s21206863
57. Ettinger U., Kumari V., Crawford T. J., Davis R. E., Sharma T., Corr P. J. (2003). Reliability of smooth pursuit, fixation, and saccadic eye movements. Psychophysiology, 40(4), 620–628. https://doi.org/10.1111/1469-8986.00063
58. Fischer, A., Gawron, P., Stiglmeier, L., Wendt, T. M., and van Laehrhoven, K. (2023). Evaluation of precision, accuracy and threshold for the design of vibrotactile feedback

in eye tracking applications. J. Sens. Sens. Syst. 12, 103–109. doi:10.5194/jsss-12-103-2023

59. Fischer-Janzen, A. (2023). Ankelinus/eyetrackinginrobotcontroltasks: V4 updated version of data base. doi:10.5281/zenodo.10034509
60. Gao, M., Kortum, P., and Oswald, F. (2018). Psychometric evaluation of the use (usefulness, satisfaction, and ease of use) questionnaire for reliability and validity. Proc. Hum. Factors Ergonomics Soc. Annu. Meet. 62, 1414–1418. doi:10.1177/1541931218621322
61. Gatys, L.A.; Ecker, A.S.; Bethge, M. Image style transfer using convolutional neural networks. In Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, Las Vegas, NV, USA, 26 June–1 July 2016; pp. 2414–2423.
62. Gemperle, F., Ota, N., and Siewiorek, D. (2001). "Design of a wearable tactile display," in Proceedings fifth international symposium on wearable computers (IEEE Comput. Soc), 5–12. doi:10.1109/ISWC.2001.962082
63. Gibaldi, A.; Vanegas, M.; Bex, P.J.; Maiello, G. Evaluation of the Tobii EyeX Eye tracking controller and Matlab toolkit for research. Behav. Res. Methods 2017, 49, 923–946. PubMed
64. Gips, J.; Olivieri, P. EagleEyes: An eye control system for persons with disabilities. In Proceedings of the Eleventh International Conference on Technology and Persons with Disabilities, Los Angeles, CA, USA, 4–6 March 1996; Volume 1.
65. Gonzalez-Aguirre, J. A., Osorio-Oliveros, R., Rodríguez-Hernández, K. L., Lizárraga-Iturralde, J., Morales Menendez, R., Ramírez-Mendoza, R. A., et al. (2021). Service robots: trends and technology. Appl. Sci. 11, 10702. doi:10.3390/app112210702
66. Graham Fink. Eye Drawings. 2020. Available online: https://grahamfink.com/eye-drawings (accessed on 26 January 2021).
67. Gülzow, J.M.; Paetzold, P.; Deussen, O. Recent Developments Regarding Painting Robots for Research in Automatic Painting, Artificial Creativity, and Machine Learning. Appl. Sci. 2020, 10, 3396.
68. Guo, C.; Bai, T.; Lu, Y.; Lin, Y.; Xiong, G.; Wang, X.; Wang, F.Y. Skywork-daVinci: A novel CPSS-based painting support system. In Proceedings of the 16th International Conference on Automation Science and Engineering (CASE), Hong Kong, China, 20–21 August 2020; pp. 673–678.

69. Haddadin S., Croft E. (2016). Physical human–robot interaction. In Siciliano B., Khatib O. (Eds.), Springer handbook of robotics, (pp. 1835–1874). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-32552-1_69
70. Hart, S. G., and Staveland, L. E. (1988). "Development of nasa-tlx (task load index): results of empirical and theoretical research,". Human mental workload (Elsevier), 52, 139–183. doi:10.1016/S0166-4115(08)62386-9
71. Heikkilä, H. Tools for a Gaze-Controlled Drawing Application–Comparing Gaze Gestures against Dwell Buttons. In IFIP Conference on Human-Computer Interaction; Springer: Berlin, Germany, 2013; pp. 187–201.
72. Hentout, A., Aouache, M., Maoudj, A., and Akli, I. (2019). Human–robot interaction in industrial collaborative robotics: a literature review of the decade 2008–2017. Adv. Robot. 33, 764–799. doi:10.1080/01691864.2019.1636714
73. Hessels R. S., Niehorster D. C., Kemner C., Hooge I. T. C. (2017). Noise-robust fixation detection in eye movement data: Identification by two-means clustering (I2MC). Behavior Research Methods, 49(5), 1802–1823. https://doi.org/10.3758/s13428-016-0822-1
74. Holmqvist K., Nyström M., Andersson R., Dewhurst R., Halszka J., van de Weijer J. (2011). Eye tracking: A comprehensive guide to methods and measures. Oxford University Press. http://lup.lub.lu.se/record/1852359
75. Holmqvist, K., and Andersson, R. (2017). Eye-tracking: a comprehensive guide to methods, paradigms and measures. Oxford University Press.
76. Hong, K.-S., and Khan, M. J. (2017). Hybrid brain-computer interface techniques for improved classification accuracy and increased number of commands: a review. Front. neurorobotics 11, 35. doi:10.3389/fnbot.2017.00035
77. Huang, C.-M., and Mutlu, B. (2016). "Anticipatory robot control for efficient human-robot collaboration," in 2016 11th ACM/IEEE international conference on human-robot interaction (HRI) (IEEE), 83–90. doi:10.1109/HRI.2016.7451737
78. Huang, Q., Zhang, Z., Yu, T., He, S., and Li, Y. (2019). An eeg-/eog-based hybrid brain-computer interface: application on controlling an integrated wheelchair robotic arm system. Front. Neurosci. 13, 1243–1249. doi:10.3389/fnins.2019.01243
79. Iáñez, E., Azorín, J. M., Fernández, E., and Úbeda, A. (2010). Interface based on electrooculography for velocity control of a robot arm. Appl. Bionics Biomechanics 7, 199–207. doi:10.1080/11762322.2010.503107

80. Igno-Rosario, O.; Hernandez-Aguilar, C.; Cruz-Orea, A.; Dominguez-Pacheco, A. Interactive system for painting artworks by regions using a robot. Robot. Auton. Syst. 2019, 121, 103263.
81. Ivorra, E., Ortega, M., Catalán, J. M., Ezquerro, S., Lledó, L. D., Garcia-Aracil, N., et al. (2018). Intelligent multimodal framework for human assistive robotics based on computer vision algorithms. Sensors Basel, Switz. 18, 2408. doi:10.3390/s18082408
82. Jain, S., and Argall, B. (2019). Probabilistic human intent recognition for shared autonomy in assistive robotics. ACM Trans. human-robot Interact. 9, 1–23. doi:10.1145/3359614
83. Jelinek, A. (2019). Guidelines 3/2019 on processing of personal data through video devices. European Data Protection Board. Tech. rep.
84. Jiang, H., Zhang, T., Wachs, J. P., and Duerstock, B. S. (2016). Enhanced control of a wheelchair-mounted robotic manipulator using 3-d vision and multimodal interaction. Comput. Vis. Image Underst. 149, 21–31. doi:10.1016/j.cviu.2016.03.015
85. Jones, E., Chinthammit, W., Huang, W., Engelke, U., and Lueg, C. (2018). Symmetric evaluation of multimodal human–robot interaction with gaze and standard control. Symmetry 10, 680. doi:10.3390/sym10120680
86. Just M. A., Carpenter P. A., Miyake A. (2003). Neuroindices of cognitive workload: Neuroimaging, pupillometric and event-related potential studies of brain work. Theoretical Issues in Ergonomics Science, 4(1–2), 56–88. https://doi.org/10.1080/14639220210159735
87. Kao P.-C. (2009). Principles of motor adaptation when walking with a powered exoskeleton (p. 120).
88. Karas, K., Pozzi, L., Pedrocchi, A., Braghin, F., and Roveda, L. (2023). Brain-computer interface for robot control with eye artifacts for assistive applications. Sci. Rep. 13, 17512. doi:10.1038/s41598-023-44645-y
89. Karimov, A.; Kopets, E.; Kolev, G.; Leonov, S.; Scalera, L.; Butusov, D. Image Preprocessing for Artistic Robotic Painting. Inventions 2021, 6, 19.
90. Karimov, A.I.; Kopets, E.E.; Rybin, V.G.; Leonov, S.V.; Voroshilova, A.I.; Butusov, D.N. Advanced tone rendition technique for a painting robot. Robot. Auton. Syst. 2019, 115, 17–27.
91. Khan, A., Memon, M. A., Jat, Y., and Khan, A. (2012). Electro-occulogram based interactive robotic arm interface for partially paralytic patients. ITEE J. 1.

92. Kim, D. H., Kim, J. H., Yoo, D. H., Lee, Y. J., and Chung, M. J. (2001). A human-robot interface using eye-gaze tracking system for people with motor disabilities. Transaction Control, Automation Syst. Eng. 3, 229–235.
93. Kirchner, E. A., Albiez, J. C., Seeland, A., Jordan, M., and Kirchner, F. (2013). "Towards assistive robotics for home rehabilitation," in Proceedings of the international conference on biomedical electronics and devices (SciTePress - Science and and Technology Publications), 168–177. doi:10.5220/0004248501680177
94. Klaib, A. F., Alsrehin, N. O., Melhem, W. Y., Bashtawi, H. O., and Magableh, A. A. (2021). Eye tracking algorithms, techniques, tools, and applications with an emphasis on machine learning and internet of things technologies. Expert Syst. Appl. 166, 114037–114124. doi:10.1016/j.eswa.2020.114037
95. Komogortsev O. V., Karpov A. (2013). Automated classification and scoring of smooth pursuit eye movements in the presence of fixations and saccades. Behavior Research Methods, 45(1), 203–215. https://doi.org/10.3758/s13428-012-0234-9
96. Krejtz K., Duchowski A. T., Niedzielska A., Biele C., Krejtz I. (2018). Eye tracking cognitive load using pupil diameter and microsaccades with fixed gaze. PLoS One, 13(9), Article e0203629. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0203629
97. Kyrarini, M., Lygerakis, F., Rajavenkatanarayanan, A., Sevastopoulos, C., Nambiappan, H. R., Chaitanya, K. K., et al. (2021). A survey of robots in healthcare. Technologies 9, 8–26. doi:10.3390/technologies9010008
98. Lakens D. (2013). Calculating and reporting effect sizes to facilitate cumulative science: A practical primer for t-tests and ANOVAs. Frontiers in Psychology, 4. 863. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2013.00863
99. Land M. F. (2009). Vision, eye movements, and natural behavior. Visual Neuroscience, 26(1), 51–62. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0952523808080899
100. Land, M.; Tatler, B. Looking and Acting: Vision and Eye Movements in Natural Behaviour; Oxford University Press: Oxford, UK, 2009.
101. Law B., Atkins M. S., Kirkpatrick A. E., Lomax A. J. (2004, March 22-24). Eye gaze patterns differentiate novice and experts in a virtual laparoscopic surgery training environment. Proceedings of the Eye Tracking Research & Applications Symposium on Eye Tracking Research & Applications, ETRA'2004, 41–48. https://doi.org/10.1145/968363.968370

102. Li, S., Zhang, X., and Webb, J. D. (2017). 3-d-gaze-based robotic grasping through mimicking human visuomotor function for people with motion impairments. IEEE Trans. bio-medical Eng. 64, 2824–2835. doi:10.1109/TBME.2017.2677902
103. Liang, N., and Nejat, G. (2022). A meta-analysis on remote hri and in-person hri: what is a socially assistive robot to do? Sensors Basel, Switz. 22, 7155. doi:10.3390/s22197155
104. Llanes-Jurado J., Marín-Morales J., Guixeres J., Alcañiz M. (2020). Development and calibration of an eye-tracking fixation identification algorithm for immersive virtual reality. Sensors, 20(17), 4956. Article 17.https://doi.org/10.3390/s20174956
105. Loft S., Sanderson P., Neal A., Mooij M. (2007). Modeling and predicting mental workload in en route air traffic control: Critical review and broader implications. Human Factors, 49(3), 376–399. https://doi.org/10.1518/001872007X197017
106. Lulé, D., Häcker, S., Ludolph, A., Birbaumer, N., and Kübler, A. (2008). Depression and quality of life in patients with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. Dtsch. Arzteblatt Int. 105, 397–403. doi:10.3238/arztebl.2008.0397
107. Lund, A. (2001). Measuring usability with the use questionnaire. Usability User Exp. Newsl. STC Usability SIG 8.
108. Ma, J., Zhang, Y., Cichocki, A., and Matsuno, F. (2015). A novel eog/eeg hybrid human-machine interface adopting eye movements and erps: application to robot control. IEEE Trans. bio-medical Eng. 62, 876–889. doi:10.1109/TBME.2014.2369483
109. Maimon-Dror, R. O., Fernandez-Quesada, J., Zito, G. A., Konnaris, C., Dziemian, S., and Faisal, A. A. (2017). Towards free 3d end-point control for robotic-assisted human reaching using binocular eye tracking. IEEE Int. Conf. Rehabilitation Robotics 2017, 1049–1054. doi:10.1109/ICORR.2017.8009388
110. Maimon-Mor, R.O.; Fernandez-Quesada, J.; Zito, G.A.; Konnaris, C.; Dziemian, S.; Faisal, A.A. Towards free 3D end-point control for robotic-assisted human reaching using binocular eye tracking. In Proceedings of the 2017 International Conference on Rehabilitation Robotics (ICORR), London, UK, 17–20 July 2017; pp. 1049–1054.
111. Majaranta, P.; Bulling, A. Eye tracking and eye-based human–computer interaction. In Advances in Physiological Computing; Springer: Berlin, Germany, 2014; pp. 39–65.
112. Marchand C., De Graaf J. B., Jarrassé N. (2021). Measuring mental workload in assistive wearable devices: A review. Journal of NeuroEngineering and Rehabilitation, 18(1), 160. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12984-021-00953-w

113. Matthews G., Reinerman-Jones L. E., Barber D. J., Abich J. (2015). The psychometrics of mental workload: Multiple measures are sensitive but divergent. Human Factors, 57(1), 125–143. https://doi.org/10.1177/0018720814539505
114. McKendrick, R. D., and Cherry, E. (2018). A deeper look at the nasa tlx and where it falls short. Proc. Hum. Factors Ergonomics Soc. Annu. Meet. 62, 44–48. doi:10.1177/1541931218621010
115. McMullen, D. P., Hotson, G., Katyal, K. D., Wester, B. A., Fifer, M. S., McGee, T. G., et al. (2014). Demonstration of a semi-autonomous hybrid brain-machine interface using human intracranial eeg, eye tracking, and computer vision to control a robotic upper limb prosthetic. IEEE Trans. neural Syst. rehabilitation Eng. a Publ. IEEE Eng. Med. Biol. Soc. 22, 784–796. doi:10.1109/TNSRE.2013.2294685
116. McMurrough, C., Ferdous, S., Papangelis, A., Boisselle, A., and Makedon, F. (2012). "A survey of assistive devices for cerebral palsy patients," in Proceedings of the 5th international conference on PErvasive technologies related to assistive environments (New York, NY, United States: ACM), 1–8. doi:10.1145/2413097
117. McMurrough, C., Ranatunga, I., Papangelis, A., Popa, D. O., and Makedon, F. (2013). "A development and evaluation platform for non-tactile power wheelchair controls," in Proceedings of the 6th international conference on PErvasive technologies related to assistive environments - petra '13. Editors F. Makedon, M. Betke, M. S. El-Nasr, and I. Maglogiannis (New York, New York, USA: ACM Press), 1–4. doi:10.1145/2504335.2504339
118. Meena, Y. K., Cecotti, H., Wong-Lin, K., and Prasad, G. (2017). "A multimodal interface to resolve the midas-touch problem in gaze controlled wheelchair Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society," in IEEE engineering in medicine and biology society. Annual international conference, 905–908. doi:10.1109/EMBC.2017.8036971
119. Meena, Y. K., Chowdhury, A., Cecotti, H., Wong-Lin, K., Nishad, S. S., Dutta, A., et al. (2016). "Emohex: an eye tracker based mobility and hand exoskeleton device for assisting disabled people," in 2016 IEEE international conference on systems, man, and cybernetics (SMC) (IEEE), 002122–002127. doi:10.1109/SMC.2016.7844553
120. Mejia, C., and Kajikawa, Y. (2017). Bibliometric analysis of social robotics research: identifying research trends and knowledgebase. Appl. Sci. 7, 1316. doi:10.3390/app7121316

121. Nicolas-Alonso, L. F., and Gomez-Gil, J. (2012). Brain computer interfaces, a review. Sensors Basel, Switz. 12, 1211–1279. doi:10.3390/s120201211
122. Nocentini, O., Fiorini, L., Acerbi, G., Sorrentino, A., Mancioppi, G., and Cavallo, F. (2019). A survey of behavioral models for social robots. Robotics 8, 54. doi:10.3390/robotics8030054
123. Norales, E. R., Brasset, D., and Invernizzi, P. (2016). Robotized surgery System with improved control (US9360934 B2)
124. Noronha, B., Dziemian, S., Zito, G. A., Konnaris, C., and Faisal, A. A. (2017). "Wink to grasp - comparing eye, voice & emg gesture control of grasp with soft-robotic gloves," in IEEE international conference on rehabilitation robotics, 1043–1048. doi:10.1109/ICORR.2017.8009387
125. Novak D., Beyeler B., Omlin X., Riener R. (2015). Workload estimation in physical human–robot interaction using physiological measurements. Interacting with Computers, 27(6), 616–629. https://doi.org/10.1093/iwc/iwu021
126. Novak, D., and Riener, R. (2013). Enhancing patient freedom in rehabilitation robotics using gaze-based intention detection. IEEE Int. Conf. Rehabilitation Robotics 2013, 6650507. doi:10.1109/ICORR.2013.6650507
127. Nunez-Varela, J. (2012). Gaze control for visually guided manipulation. Birmingham: University of Birmingham. Phd thesis.
128. Onose, G., Grozea, C., Anghelescu, A., Daia, C., Sinescu, C. J., Ciurea, A. V., et al. (2012). On the feasibility of using motor imagery eeg-based brain-computer interface in chronic tetraplegics for assistive robotic arm control: a clinical test and long-term post-trial follow-up. Spinal Cord. 50, 599–608. doi:10.1038/sc.2012.14
129. Orquin, J.L.; Holmqvist, K. Threats to the validity of eye-movement research in psychology. Behav. Res. Methods 2018, 50, 1645–1656. PubMed
130. Park, K.-B., Choi, S. H., Moon, H., Lee, J. Y., Ghasemi, Y., and Jeong, H. (2022). "Indirect robot manipulation using eye gazing and head movement for future of work in mixed reality," in 2022 IEEE conference on virtual reality and 3D user interfaces abstracts and workshops (VRW) (IEEE), 483–484. doi:10.1109/VRW55335.2022.00107
131. Pasqualotto, E., Matuz, T., Federici, S., Ruf, C. A., Bartl, M., Olivetti Belardinelli, M., et al. (2015). Usability and workload of access technology for people with severe motor impairment: a comparison of brain-computer interfacing and eye

tracking. Neurorehabilitation neural repair 29, 950–957. doi:10.1177/1545968315575611

132. Pasqualotto, E.; Matuz, T.; Federici, S.; Ruf, C.A.; Bartl, M.; Olivetti Belardinelli, M.; Birbaumer, N.; Halder, S. Usability and workload of access technology for people with severe motor impairment: A comparison of brain-computer interfacing and eye tracking. Neurorehabilit. Neural Repair 2015, 29, 950–957. PubMed
133. Payton, D. W., and Daily, M. J. (2013). Systems, methods, and apparatus for neuro-robotic tracking point selection (US8483816 B1).
134. Pedrocchi, A., Ferrante, S., Ambrosini, E., Gandolla, M., Casellato, C., Schauer, T., et al. (2013). Mundus project: multimodal neuroprosthesis for daily upper limb support. J. neuroengineering rehabilitation 10, 66–20. doi:10.1186/1743-0003-10-66
135. Perez Reynoso, F. D., Niño Suarez, P. A., Aviles Sanchez, O. F., Calva Yañez, M. B., Vega Alvarado, E., and Portilla Flores, E. A. (2020). A custom eog-based hmi using neural network modeling to real-time for the trajectory tracking of a manipulator robot. Front. neurorobotics 14, 578834–578923. doi:10.3389/fnbot.2020.578834
136. Pfleging B., Fekety D. K., Schmidt A., Kun A. L. (2016). A model relating pupil diameter to mental workload and lighting conditions. In Proceedings of the 2016 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (pp. 5776–5788). https://doi.org/10.1145/2858036.2858117
137. Postelnicu, C.-C., Girbacia, F., Voinea, G.-D., and Boboc, R. (2019). “Towards hybrid multimodal brain computer interface for robotic arm command: 13th international conference, ac 2019, held as part of the 21st hci international conference, hcii 2019, orlando, fl, USA, july 26-31, 2019: proceedings,” in Augmented cognition. Editors D. D. Schmorrow, and C. M. Fidopiastis (Cham: Springer), 461–470.
138. Quintero, C.P.; Dehghan, M.; Ramirez, O.; Ang, M.H.; Jagersand, M. Flexible virtual fixture interface for path specification in tele-manipulation. In Proceedings of the 2017 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA), Singapore, 29 May–3 June 2017; pp. 5363–5368.
139. Ramkumar, S., Sathesh Kumar, K., Dhiliphan Rajkumar, T., Ilayaraja, M., and Sankar, K. (2018). A review-classification of electrooculogram based human computer interfaces. Biomed. Res. 29, 078–1084. doi:10.4066/biomedicalresearch.29-17-2979
140. Rantala, J., Kangas, J., Akkil, D., Isokoski, P., and Raisamo, R. (2014). “Glasses with haptic feedback of gaze gestures,” in CHI ’14 extended abstracts on human factors in

computing systems. Editors M. Jones, P. Palanque, A. Schmidt, and T. Grossman (New York, NY, USA: ACM), 1597–1602. doi:10.1145/2559206.2581163

141. Rantala, J., Majaranta, P., Kangas, J., Isokoski, P., Akkil, D., Špakov, O., et al. (2020). Gaze interaction with vibrotactile feedback: review and design guidelines. Human–Computer Interact. 35, 1–39. doi:10.1080/07370024.2017.1306444
142. Rayner, K. The 35th Sir Frederick Bartlett Lecture: Eye movements and attention in reading, scene perception, and visual search. Q. J. Exp. Psychol. 2009, 62, 1457–1506. PubMed
143. Robinson, N., Tidd, B., Campbell, D., Kulić, D., and Corke, P. (2022). Robotic vision for human-robot interaction and collaboration: a survey and systematic review. ACM Trans. Human-Robot Interact. 12, 1–66. doi:10.1145/3570731
144. Rusydi, M. I., Sasaki, M., and Ito, S. (2014b). Affine transform to reform pixel coordinates of eog signals for controlling robot manipulators using gaze motions. Sensors Basel, Switz. 14, 10107–10123. doi:10.3390/s140610107
145. Rusydi, M., Okamoto, T., Ito, S., and Sasaki, M. (2014a). Rotation matrix to operate a robot manipulator for 2d analog tracking objects using electrooculography. Robotics 3, 289–309. doi:10.3390/robotics3030289
146. Sailer U., Flanagan J. R., Johansson R. S. (2005). Eye-hand coordination during learning of a novel visuomotor task. Journal of Neuroscience: The Official Journal of the Society for Neuroscience, 25(39), 8833–8842. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2658-05.2005
147. Santella, A.; DeCarlo, D. Abstracted painterly renderings using eye-tracking data. In Proceedings of the 2nd International Symposium on Non-Photorealistic Animation and Rendering, Annecy, France, 3–5 June 2002; pp. 75–82.
148. Santos, M.; Notomista, G.; Mayya, S.; Egerstedt, M. Interactive Multi-Robot Painting Through Colored Motion Trails. Front. Robot. AI 2020, 7, 143. PubMed
149. Scalera, L., Seriani, S., Gallina, P., Lentini, M., and Gasparetto, A. (2021a). Human–robot interaction through eye tracking for artistic drawing. Robotics 10, 54. doi:10.3390/robotics10020054
150. Scalera, L., Seriani, S., Gasparetto, A., and Gallina, P. (2021b). “A novel robotic system for painting with eyes,” in Advances in Italian mechanism science. Editors V. Niola, and A. Gasparetto (Cham: Springer International Publishing), 91, 191–199. doi:10.1007/978-3-030-55807-9{\textunderscore}22

151. Scalera, L.; Seriani, S.; Gasparetto, A.; Gallina, P. A Novel Robotic System for Painting with Eyes. Mech. Mach. Sci. 2021, 91, 191–199.
152. Scalera, L.; Seriani, S.; Gasparetto, A.; Gallina, P. Busker Robot: A robotic painting system for rendering images into watercolour artworks. In IFToMM Symposium on Mechanism Design for Robotics; Springer: Berlin, Germany, 2018; pp. 1–8.
153. Scalera, L.; Seriani, S.; Gasparetto, A.; Gallina, P. Non-photorealistic rendering techniques for artistic robotic painting. Robotics 2019, 8, 10.
154. Scalera, L.; Seriani, S.; Gasparetto, A.; Gallina, P. Watercolour robotic painting: A novel automatic system for artistic rendering. J. Intell. Robot. Syst. 2019, 95, 871–886.
155. Schäfer, J., and Gebhard, M. (2019). "Feasibility analysis of sensor modalities to control a robot with eye and head movements for assistive tasks," in Proceedings of the 12th ACM international conference on PErvasive technologies related to assistive environments. Editor F. Makedon (New York, NY, USA: ACM), 482–488. doi:10.1145/3316782.3322774
156. Schiatti, L.; Tessadori, J.; Barresi, G.; Mattos, L.S.; Ajoudani, A. Soft brain-machine interfaces for assistive robotics: A novel control approach. In Proceedings of the 2017 International Conference on Rehabilitation Robotics (ICORR), London, UK, 17–20 July 2017; pp. 863–869.
157. Schmidtler, J., Bengler, K., Dimeas, F., and Campeau-Lecours, A. (2017). Questionnaire for the evaluation of physical assistive devices (quead) - manual. doi:10.13140/RG.2.2.31113.44649
158. Searle S. R., Casella G., McCulloch C. E. (2009). Variance components. John Wiley & Sons.
159. Shafti, A., and Faisal, A. A. (2021). "Non-invasive cognitive-level human interfacing for the robotic restoration of reaching & grasping," in 2021 10th international IEEE/EMBS conference on neural engineering (NER) (IEEE), 872–875. doi:10.1109/NER49283.2021.9441453
160. Shafti, A., Orlov, P., and Faisal, A. (2019). "Gaze-based," in Context-aware robotic system for assisted reaching and grasping (Piscataway, NJ: IEEE).
161. Shahzad, M. I., and Mehmood, S. (2010). Control of articulated robot arm by eye tracking. Karlsakrona, Sweden: School of Computing Blekinge Institute of Technology. Master thesis.

162. Sharma, V. K., Murthy, L. R. D., and Biswas, P. (2022). Comparing two safe distance maintenance algorithms for a gaze-controlled hri involving users with ssmi. ACM Trans. Accessible Comput. 15, 1–23. doi:10.1145/3530822
163. Sharma, V. K., Saluja, K., Mollyn, V., and Biswas, P. (2020). "Eye gaze controlled robotic arm for persons with severe speech and motor impairment," in ACM symposium on eye tracking research and applications. Editors A. Bulling, A. Huckauf, E. Jain, R. Radach, and D. Weiskopf (New York, NY, USA: ACM), 1–9. doi:10.1145/3379155.3391324
164. Shehu, I. S., Wang, Y., Athuman, A. M., and Fu, X. (2021). Remote eye gaze tracking research: a comparative evaluation on past and recent progress. Electronics 10, 3165. doi:10.3390/electronics10243165
165. Shiferaw B., Downey L., Crewther D. (2019). A review of gaze entropy as a measure of visual scanning efficiency. Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews, 96, 353–366. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2018.12.007
166. Shrout P. E., Fleiss J. L. (1979). Intraclass correlations: Uses in assessing rater reliability. Psychological Bulletin, 86(2), 420–428. https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.86.2.420
167. Siean, A.-I., and Vatavu, R.-D. (2021). "Wearable interactions for users with motor impairments: systematic review, inventory, and research implications," in The 23rd international ACM SIGACCESS conference on computers and accessibility. Editors J. Lazar, J. H. Feng, and F. Hwang (New York, NY, USA: ACM), 1–15. doi:10.1145/3441852.3471212
168. Smith, E., and Delargy, M. (2005). Locked-in syndrome. BMJ 330, 406–409. doi:10.1136/bmj.330.7488.406
169. Sobuh M. M. D., Kenney L. P. J., Galpin A. J., Thies S. B., McLaughlin J., Kulkarni J., Kyberd P. (2014). Visuomotor behaviours when using a myoelectric prosthesis. Journal of NeuroEngineering and Rehabilitation, 11(1), 72. https://doi.org/10.1186/1743-0003-11-72
170. Srinivasan D., Martin B. J. (2010). Eye–hand coordination of symmetric bimanual reaching tasks: Temporal aspects. Experimental Brain Research, 203(2), 391–405. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00221-010-2241-3
171. Stalljann, S., Wöhle, L., Schäfer, J., and Gebhard, M. (2020). Performance analysis of a head and eye motion-based control interface for assistive robots. Sensors Basel, Switz. 20, 7162. doi:10.3390/s20247162

172. Steinfeld A., Fong T., Kaber D., Lewis M., Scholtz J., Schultz A., Goodrich M. (2006). Common metrics for human-robot interaction. In Proceeding of the 1st ACM SIGCHI/SIGART Conference on Human-Robot Interaction - HRI '06 (p. 33). https://doi.org/10.1145/1121241.1121249
173. Stirling L., Kelty-Stephen D., Fineman R., Jones M. L. H., Daniel Park B.-K., Reed M. P., Parham J., Choi H. J. (2020). Static, dynamic, and cognitive fit of exosystems for the human operator. Human Factors, 62(3), 424–440. https://doi.org/10.1177/0018720819896898
174. Sunny, M. S. H., Zarif, M. I. I., Rulik, I., Sanjuan, J., Rahman, M. H., Ahamed, S. I., et al. (2021). Eye-gaze control of a wheelchair mounted 6DOF assistive robot for activities of daily living (research square). doi:10.21203/rs.3.rs-829261/v1
175. Tajadura-Jiménez, A., Väljamäe, A., Bevilacqua, F., and Bianchi-Berthouze, N. (2018). "Principles for designing body-centered auditory feedback," in The wiley handbook of human computer interaction. Editors K. L. Norman, and J. Kirakowski (Chichester, UK: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd), 371–403. doi:10.1002/9781118976005.ch18
176. This Person Does Not Exist. 2020. Available online: https://www.thispersondoesnotexist.com/ (accessed on 26 January 2021).
177. Thomas J. R., French K. E. (1985). Gender differences across age in motor performance: A meta-analysis. Psychological Bulletin, 98(2), 260–282. https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.98.2.260
178. Tobii, C. (2023). Dark and bright pupil tracking.
179. Tostado, P. M., Abbott, W. W., and Faisal, A. A. (2016). "3d gaze cursor: continuous calibration and end-point grasp control of robotic actuators," in 2016 IEEE international conference on robotics and automation (ICRA) (IEEE), 3295–3300. doi:10.1109/ICRA.2016.7487502
180. Trambaiolli, L. R., and Falk, T. H. (2018). "Hybrid brain–computer interfaces for wheelchair control: a review of existing solutions, their advantages and open challenges," in Smart wheelchairs and brain-computer interfaces (Elsevier), 229–256. doi:10.1016/B978-0-12-812892-3.00010-8
181. Tramonte, S.; Sorbello, R.; Guger, C.; Chella, A. Acceptability Study of A3-K3 Robotic Architecture for a Neurorobotics Painting. Front. Neurorobot. 2019, 12, 81. PubMed
182. Tresset, P.; Leymarie, F.F. Portrait drawing by Paul the robot. Comput. Graph. 2013, 37, 348–363.

183. Tricco, A. C., Lillie, E., Zarin, W., O'Brien, K. K., Colquhoun, H., Levac, D., et al. (2018). Prisma extension for scoping reviews (prisma-scr): checklist and explanation. Ann. Intern. Med. 169, 467–473. doi:10.7326/M18-0850

184. Tsang P. S., Vidulich M. A. (2006). Mental workload and situation awareness. In Handbook of human factors and ergonomics (3rd ed., pp. 243–268). John Wiley & Sons, Inc. https://doi.org/10.1002/0470048204.ch9

185. Ubeda, A., Iañez, E., and Azorin, J. M. (2011). Wireless and portable eog-based interface for assisting disabled people. IEEE/ASME Trans. Mechatronics 16, 870–873. doi:10.1109/TMECH.2011.2160354

186. Van Acker B. B., Bombeke K., Durnez W., Parmentier D. D., Mateus J. C., Biondi A., Saldien J., Vlerick P. (2020). Mobile pupillometry in manual assembly: A pilot study exploring the wearability and external validity of a renowned mental workload lab measure. International Journal of Industrial Ergonomics, 75, 102891. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ergon.2019.102891

187. Van der Kamp, J.; Sundstedt, V. Gaze and voice controlled drawing. In Proceedings of the 1st Conference on Novel Gaze-Controlled Applications, Karlskrona, Sweden, 26–27 May 2011; pp. 1–8.

188. Velichkovsky, B. B., Rumyantsev, M. A., and Morozov, M. A. (2014). New solution to the midas touch problem: identification of visual commands via extraction of focal fixations. Procedia Comput. Sci. 39, 75–82. doi:10.1016/j.procs.2014.11.012

189. Wang, H., Dong, X., Chen, Z., and Shi, B. E. (2015). "Hybrid gaze/eeg brain computer interface for robot arm control on a pick and place task," in Annual international conference of the IEEE engineering in medicine and biology society. IEEE engineering in medicine and biology society. Annual international conference 2015, 1476–1479. doi:10.1109/EMBC.2015.7318649

190. Wang, Y., Xu, G., Song, A., Xu, B., Li, H., Hu, C., et al. (2018). "Continuous shared control for robotic arm reaching driven by a hybrid gaze-brain machine interface," in 2018 IEEE/RSJ international conference on intelligent robots and systems: october, 1-5, 2018, Madrid, Spain, Madrid municipal conference centre (Piscataway, NJ: IEEE).

191. Wang, Y.; Zeng, H.; Song, A.; Xu, B.; Li, H.; Zhu, L.; Wen, P.; Liu, J. Robotic arm control using hybrid brain-machine interface and augmented reality feedback. In Proceedings of the 2017 8th International IEEE/EMBS Conference on Neural Engineering (NER), Shanghai, China, 25–28 May 2017; pp. 411–414.

192. Webb G. I. (2010). Model evaluation. In Encyclopedia of machine learning (pp. 683–683). Springer.
193. Webb, J. D., Li, S., and Zhang, X. (2016). “Using visuomotor tendencies to increase control performance in teleoperation,” in 2016 American control conference (ACC) (IEEE), 7110–7116. doi:10.1109/ACC.2016.7526794
194. White M. M., Zhang W., Winslow A. T., Zahabi M., Zhang F., Huang H., Kaber D. B. (2017). Usability comparison of conventional direct control versus pattern recognition control of transradial prostheses. IEEE Transactions on Human-Machine Systems, 47(6), 1146–1157. https://doi.org/10.1109/THMS.2017.2759762
195. White O., French R. M. (2017). Pupil diameter may reflect motor control and learning. Journal of Motor Behavior, 49(2), 141–149. https://doi.org/10.1080/00222895.2016.1161593
196. WHO (2001). International classification of functioning, disability, and health. Icf.
197. WHO (2019). World report on vision.
198. Wilson M., McGrath J., Vine S., Brewer J., Defriend D., Masters R. (2010). Psychomotor control in a virtual laparoscopic surgery training environment: Gaze control parameters differentiate novices from experts. Surgical Endoscopy, 24(10), 2458–2464. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00464-010-0986-1
199. Wöhle, L., and Gebhard, M. (2021). Towards robust robot control in cartesian space using an infrastructureless head- and eye-gaze interface. Sensors Basel, Switz. 21, 1798. doi:10.3390/s21051798
200. Wöhle, L.; Gebhard, M. Towards Robust Robot Control in Cartesian Space Using an Infrastructureless Head-and Eye-Gaze Interface. Sensors 2021, 21, 1798.
201. Wolpert D. M., Diedrichsen J., Flanagan J. R. (2011). Principles of sensorimotor learning. Nature Reviews Neuroscience, 12(12), 739–751. Article 12.https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn3112
202. Wu C., Cha J., Sulek J., Sundaram C. P., Wachs J., Proctor R. W., Yu D. (2021). Sensor-based indicators of performance changes between sessions during robotic surgery training. Applied Ergonomics, 90, 103251. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apergo.2020.103251
203. Wu C., Cha J., Sulek J., Zhou T., Sundaram C. P., Wachs J., Yu D. (2020). Eye-tracking metrics predict perceived workload in robotic surgical skills training. Human Factors, 62(8), 1365–1386. https://doi.org/10.1177/0018720819874544

204. Yang, B., Huang, J., Sun, M., Huo, J., Li, X., and Xiong, C. (2021). “Head-free, human gaze-driven assistive robotic system for reaching and grasping,” in 2021 40th Chinese control conference (CCC) (IEEE), 4138–4143. doi:10.23919/CCC52363.2021.9549800
205. Yarbus, A.L. Eye movements during perception of complex objects. In Eye Movements and Vision; Springer: Berlin, Germany, 1967; pp. 171–211.
206. Yoo, D. H., Kim, J. H., Kim, D. H., and Chung, M. J. (2002). “A human-robot interface using vision-based eye gaze estimation system,” in IEEE/RSJ international conference on intelligent robots and system (IEEE), 1196–1201. doi:10.1109/IRDS.2002.1043896
207. Young, L.R.; Sheena, D. Survey of eye movement recording methods. Behav. Res. Methods Instrum. 1975, 7, 397–429.
208. Zargari Marandi R., Madeleine P., Omland Ø., Vuillerme N., Samani A. (2018). Reliability of oculometrics during a mentally demanding task in young and old adults. IEEE Access, 6, 17500–17517. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2018.2819211
209. Zeng, H., Wang, Y., Wu, C., Song, A., Liu, J., Ji, P., et al. (2017). Closed-loop hybrid gaze brain-machine interface based robotic arm control with augmented reality feedback. Front. neurorobotics 11, 60–13. doi:10.3389/fnbot.2017.00060
210. Zénon A., Sidibé M., Olivier E. (2014). Pupil size variations correlate with physical effort perception. Frontiers in Behavioral Neuroscience, 8, 286. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnbeh.2014.00286
211. Zhang Y., Hopko S., Y A., Mehta R. K. (2022). Capturing dynamic trust metrics during shared space human robot collaboration: An eye-tracking approach. Proceedings of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society - Annual Meeting, 66(1), 536–536. https://doi.org/10.1177/1071181322661296
212. Zhang, G., Hansen, J. P., Minakata, K., Alapetite, A., and Wang, Z. (2019). Eye-gaze-controlled telepresence robots for people with motor disabilities: the 14th ACM/IEEE international conference on human-robot interaction: march 11-14, 2019, daegu, South Korea. Piscataway, NJ: IEEE.
213. Zhang, J., Guo, F., Hong, J., and Zhang, Y. (2013). “Human-robot shared control of articulated manipulator,” in 2013 IEEE international symposium on assembly and manufacturing (ISAM) (IEEE), 81–84. doi:10.1109/ISAM.2013.6643493
214. Zheng B., Jiang X., Bednarik R., Atkins M. S. (2021). Action-related eye measures to assess surgical expertise. BJS Open, 5(5), zrab068. https://doi.org/10.1093/bjsopen/zrab068

215. Zhou T., Zhu Q., Du J. (2020). Intuitive robot teleoperation for civil engineering operations with virtual reality and deep learning scene reconstruction. Advanced Engineering Informatics, 46, 101170. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aei.2020.101170
216. Zhu, D., Gedeon, T., and Taylor, K. (2010). Head or gaze? controlling remote camera for hands-busy tasks in teleoperation: a comparison. In Proceedings of the 22nd conference of the computer-human interaction special interest group of Australia on computer-human interaction, ed. M. Brereton (New York, NY: ACM, 300–303.